

## Appendix A. Demographics

**TABLE A.1**  
**PROFILE OF GENERAL DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS**  
**1990 AND 2000 CENSUS: ROCKFORD VS. NORTHEAST QUADRANT**

SUBJECT	ROCKFORD			NORTHEAST QUADRANT		
	1990	2000	% Change	1990	2000	% Change
<b>Total population</b>	139,426	150,115	7.7%	33,805	39,146	15.8%
<b>RACE</b>						
White	113,118	109,303	-3.4%	32,154	34,643	7.7%
Black	20,868	26,072	24.9%	747	1,917	156.6%
American Indian or Alaskan	356	474	33.1%	25	54	116.0%
Asian	2,136	3,301	54.5%	688	1,206	75.3%
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Is. (1)	15	67	346.7%	2	11	450.0%
Some other Race	2,933	7,200	145.5%	189	696	268.3%
Two or More Races	.	3,698	.	.	619	.
<b>HISPANIC (of any race)</b>						
Hispanic or Latino	5,841	15,278	161.6%	510	1,936	279.6%
<b>HOUSEHOLDS</b>						
<b>Total households</b>	54,839	59,158	7.9%	13,022	15,850	21.7%
Persons Per Household (PPHH)	2.47	2.46	-0.2%	2.49	2.39	-4.0%
PPHH, Owner-occupied unit	2.65	2.59	-2.2%	2.70	2.57	-4.8%
PPHH, Renter-occupied unit	2.20	2.26	2.8%	1.92	1.95	1.4%
<b>Housing Occupancy</b>						
Total Housing Units	58,146	63,570	9.3%	13,417	16,476	22.8%
Occupied Housing Units	54,839	59,158	7.9%	13,022	15,850	21.7%
Owner-occupied Housing Units	32,698	36,144	10.5%	9,582	11,365	18.6%
Renter-occupied Housing Units	22,141	23,014	3.9%	3,440	4,485	30.4%
Homeownership Rates	59.63	61.10	2.5%	73.58	71.70	-2.6%
<b>Vacant Housing Units</b>						
Total Vacant	3,307	4,412	33.4%	395	626	58.5%
For Rent	1,490	2,120	42.3%	154	268	74.0%
Rental Vacancy Rate	6.31	8.43	33.8%	4.28	5.64	31.6%
For Sale only	351	621	76.9%	85	131	54.1%
Homeowner Vacancy Rate	1.06	1.69	59.0%	0.88	1.14	29.6%
Rented or sold, not occupied	348	309	-11.2%	33	66	100.0%
Seasonal/Recreational/Occ. use	89	149	67.4%	27	46	70.4%
For migrant workers	5	1	-80.0%	.	.	.
Other vacant	1,024	1,212	18.4%	96	115	19.8%

Source: U.S. Census of Population and Housing

(1) 1990: Pacific Islander: Polynesian, Micronesian, Melanesian, and Pacific Islander, not specified.

2000: NH and OPI: Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander.

**TABLE A.2**  
**PROFILE OF GENERAL DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS**  
**1990 AND 2000 CENSUS: ROCKFORD VS. NORTHWEST QUADRANT**

SUBJECT	ROCKFORD			NORTHWEST QUADRANT		
	1990	2000	% Change	1990	2000	% Change
<b>Total population</b>	139,426	150,115	7.7%	33,908	33,894	-0.04%
<b>RACE</b>						
White	113,118	109,303	-3.4%	27,992	23,576	-15.8%
Black	20,868	26,072	24.9%	5,101	7,772	52.4%
American Indian or Alaskan	356	474	33.1%	102	109	6.9%
Asian	2,136	3,301	54.5%	128	216	68.8%
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Is. (1)	15	67	346.7%	5	18	260.0%
Some other Race	2,933	7,200	145.5%	580	1,317	127.1%
Two or More Races		3,698			886	
<b>HISPANIC (of any race)</b>						
Hispanic or Latino	5,841	15,278	161.6%	1,207	2,706	124.2%
<b>HOUSEHOLDS</b>						
<b>Total households</b>	54,839	59,158	7.9%	13,543	13,223	-2.4%
Persons Per Household (PPHH)	2.47	2.46	-0.2%	2.43	2.47	1.9%
PPHH, Owner-occupied unit	2.65	2.59	-2.2%	2.62	2.60	-0.5%
PPHH, Renter-occupied unit	2.20	2.26	2.8%	2.14	2.27	5.8%
<b>Housing Occupancy</b>						
Total Housing Units	58,146	63,570	9.3%	14,286	14,198	-0.6%
Occupied Housing Units	54,839	59,158	7.9%	13,543	13,223	-2.4%
Owner-occupied Housing Units	32,698	36,144	10.5%	8,170	8,131	-0.5%
Renter-occupied Housing Units	22,141	23,014	3.9%	5,373	5,092	-5.2%
Homeownership Rates	59.63	61.10	2.5%	60.33	61.49	1.9%
<b>Vacant Housing Units</b>						
Total Vacant	3,307	4,412	33.4%	743	975	31.2%
For Rent	1,490	2,120	42.3%	312	482	54.5%
Rental Vacancy Rate	6.31	8.43	33.8%	5.49	8.65	57.6%
For Sale only	351	621	76.9%	102	167	63.7%
Homeowner Vacancy Rate	1.06	1.69	59.0%	1.23	2.01	63.2%
Rented or sold, not occupied	348	309	-11.2%	88	42	-52.3%
Seasonal/Recreational/Occ. use	89	149	67.4%	22	36	63.6%
For migrant workers	5	1	-80.0%	1	.	.
Other vacant	1,024	1,212	18.4%	218	248	13.8%

Source: U.S. Census of Population and Housing

(1) 1990: Pacific Islander: Polynesian, Micronesian, Melanesian, Pacific Islander, not specified.  
 2000: NH and OPI: Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander.

**TABLE A.3**  
**PROFILE OF GENERAL DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS**  
**1990 AND 2000 CENSUS: ROCKFORD VS. SOUTHEAST QUADRANT**

SUBJECT	ROCKFORD			SOUTHEAST QUADRANT		
	1990	2000	% Change	1990	2000	% Change
<b>Total population</b>	139,426	150,115	7.7%	52,549	58,143	10.6%
<b>RACE</b>						
White	113,118	109,303	-3.4%	47,014	45,696	-2.8%
Black	20,868	26,072	24.9%	3,299	5,928	79.7%
American Indian or Alaskan	356	474	33.1%	170	211	24.1%
Asian	2,136	3,301	54.5%	1,297	1,836	41.6%
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Is. (1)	15	67	346.7%	8	25	212.5%
Some other Race	2,933	7,200	145.5%	761	2,906	281.9%
Two or More Races		3,698			1,541	
<b>HISPANIC (of any race)</b>						
Hispanic or Latino	5,841	15,278	161.6%	1,904	6,400	236.1%
<b>HOUSEHOLDS</b>						
<b>Total households</b>	54,839	59,158	7.9%	21,691	23,853	10.0%
Persons Per Household (PPHH)	2.47	2.46	-0.2%	2.39	2.40	0.8%
PPHH, Owner-occupied unit	2.65	2.59	-2.2%	2.57	2.52	-1.9%
PPHH, Renter-occupied unit	2.20	2.26	2.8%	2.15	2.24	3.9%
<b>Housing Occupancy</b>						
Total Housing Units	58,146	63,570	9.3%	22,996	25,810	12.2%
Occupied Housing Units	54,839	59,158	7.9%	21,691	23,853	10.0%
Owner-occupied Housing Units	32,698	36,144	10.5%	12,089	13,927	15.2%
Renter-occupied Housing Units	22,141	23,014	3.9%	9,602	9,926	3.4%
Homeownership Rates	59.63	61.10	2.5%	55.73	58.39	4.8%
<b>Vacant Housing Units</b>						
Total Vacant	3,307	4,412	33.4%	1,305	1,957	50.0%
For Rent	1,490	2,120	42.3%	699	1,041	48.9%
Rental Vacancy Rate	6.31	8.43	33.8%	6.79	9.49	39.9%
For Sale only	351	621	76.9%	119	254	113.4%
Homeowner Vacancy Rate	1.06	1.69	59.0%	0.97	1.79	83.7%
Rented or sold, not occupied	348	309	-11.2%	137	102	-25.5%
Seasonal/Recreational/Occ. use	89	149	67.4%	32	53	65.6%
For migrant workers	5	1	-80.0%	1	1	0.0%
Other vacant	1,024	1,212	18.4%	317	506	59.6%

Source: U.S. Census of Population and Housing

- (1) 1990: Pacific Islander: Polynesian, Micronesian, Melanesian, Pacific Islander, not specified.
- 2000: NH and OPI: Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander.

**TABLE A.4**  
**PROFILE OF GENERAL DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS**  
**1990 AND 2000 CENSUS: ROCKFORD VS. SOUTHWEST QUADRANT**

SUBJECT	ROCKFORD			SOUTHWEST QUADRANT		
	1990	2000	% Change	1990	2000	% Change
<b>Total population</b>	139,426	150,115	7.7%	19,164	18,932	-1.2%
<b>RACE</b>						
White	113,118	109,303	-3.4%	5,958	5,388	-9.6%
Black	20,868	26,072	24.9%	11,721	10,455	-10.8%
American Indian or Alaskan	356	474	33.1%	59	100	69.5%
Asian	2,136	3,301	54.5%	23	43	87.0%
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Is. (1)	15	67	346.7%	.	13	.
Some other Race	2,933	7,200	145.5%	1,403	2,281	62.6%
Two or More Races	.	3,698	.	.	652	.
<b>HISPANIC (of any race)</b>						
Hispanic or Latino	5,841	15,278	161.6%	2,220	4,236	90.8%
<b>HOUSEHOLDS</b>						
<b>Total households</b>	54,839	59,158	7.9%	6,583	6,232	-5.3%
Persons Per Household (PPHH)	2.47	2.46	-0.2%	2.79	2.86	2.5%
PPHH, Owner-occupied unit	2.65	2.59	-2.2%	2.97	3.05	2.6%
PPHH, Renter-occupied unit	2.20	2.26	2.8%	2.66	2.72	2.3%
<b>Housing Occupancy</b>						
Total Housing Units	58,146	63,570	9.3%	7,447	7,086	-4.8%
Occupied Housing Units	54,839	59,158	7.9%	6,583	6,232	-5.3%
Owner-occupied Housing Units	32,698	36,144	10.5%	2,857	2,721	-4.8%
Renter-occupied Housing Units	22,141	23,014	3.9%	3,726	3,511	-5.8%
Homeownership Rates	59.63	61.10	2.5%	43.40	43.66	0.6%
<b>Vacant Housing Units</b>						
Total Vacant	3,307	4,412	33.4%	864	854	-1.2%
For Rent	1,490	2,120	42.3%	325	329	1.2%
Rental Vacancy Rate	6.31	8.43	33.8%	8.02	8.57	6.8%
For Sale only	351	621	76.9%	45	69	53.3%
Homeowner Vacancy Rate	1.06	1.69	59.0%	1.55	2.47	59.5%
Rented or sold, not occupied	348	309	-11.2%	90	99	10.0%
Seasonal/Recreational/Occ. use	89	149	67.4%	8	14	75.0%
For migrant workers	5	1	-80.0%	3	.	.
Other vacant	1,024	1,212	18.4%	393	343	-12.7%

Source: U.S. Census of Population and Housing

(1) 1990: Pacific Islander: Polynesian, Micronesian, Melanesian, Pacific Islander, not specified.  
 2000: NH and OPI: Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander.

**TABLE A.5**  
**PROFILE OF POPULATION CHARACTERISTICS**  
**ROCKFORD VS NORTHEAST QUADRANT, 2000 CENSUS**

SUBJECT	Rockford	% of Rockford	% of Northeast	
			Quadrant	Quadrant
<b>Total Population</b>	150,115	.	39,146	.
<b>GENDER</b>				
Male	72,384	48.22	18,640	47.62
Female	77,731	51.78	20,506	52.38
<b>AGE</b>				
<20	44,009	29.32	10,041	25.65
20-24	9,834	6.55	2,078	5.31
25-34	22,414	14.93	4,870	12.44
35-54	40,917	27.26	11,712	29.92
55-64	11,832	7.88	3,642	9.30
65+	21,109	14.06	6,803	17.38
Male 65+	8,162	5.44	2,626	6.71
Female 65+	12,947	8.62	4,177	10.67

Source: U.S. Census of Population and Housing

**TABLE A.6**  
**PROFILE OF POPULATION CHARACTERISTICS**  
**ROCKFORD VS NORTHWEST QUADRANT, 2000 CENSUS**

<b>SUBJECT</b>	<b>Rockford</b>	<b>% of Rockford</b>	<b>Northwest Quadrant</b>	<b>% of Northwest Quadrant</b>
<b>Total Population</b>	150,115		33,894	
<b>GENDER</b>				
Male	72,384	48.22	15,980	47.15
Female	77,731	51.78	17,914	52.85
<b>AGE</b>				
<20	44,009	29.32	10,516	31.0
20-24	9,834	6.55	2,061	6.1
25-34	22,414	14.93	5,100	15.0
35-54	40,917	27.26	9,206	27.2
55-64	11,832	7.88	2,440	7.2
65+	21,109	14.06	4,571	13.5
Male 65+	8,162	5.44	1,689	5.0
Female 65+	12,947	8.62	2,882	8.5

Source: U.S. Census of Population and Housing

**TABLE A.7**  
**PROFILE OF POPULATION CHARACTERISTICS**  
**ROCKFORD VS SOUTHEAST QUADRANT, 2000 CENSUS**

<b>SUBJECT</b>	<b>Rockford</b>	<b>% of Rockford</b>	<b>Southeast Quadrant</b>	<b>% of Southeast Quadrant</b>
<b>Total Population</b>	150,115		58,143	
<b>GENDER</b>				
Male	72,384	48.22	28,366	48.79
Female	77,731	51.78	29,777	51.21
<b>AGE</b>				
<20	44,009	29.32	16,404	28.2
20-24	9,834	6.55	4,206	7.2
25-34	22,414	14.93	9,696	16.7
35-54	40,917	27.26	15,449	26.6
55-64	11,832	7.88	4,549	7.8
65+	21,109	14.06	7,839	13.5
Male 65+	8,162	5.44	3,087	5.3
Female 65+	12,947	8.62	4,752	8.2

Source: U.S. Census of Population and Housing

**TABLE A.8**  
**PROFILE OF POPULATION CHARACTERISTICS**  
**ROCKFORD VS SOUTHWEST QUADRANT, 2000 CENSUS**

<b>SUBJECT</b>	<b>Rockford</b>	<b>% of Rockford</b>	<b>Southwest Quadrant</b>	<b>% of Southwest Quadrant</b>
<b>Total Population</b>	150,115		18,932	
<b>GENDER</b>				
Male	72,384	48.22	9,398	49.64
Female	77,731	51.78	9,534	50.36
<b>AGE</b>				
<20	44,009	29.32	7,048	37.23
20-24	9,834	6.55	1,489	7.86
25-34	22,414	14.93	2,748	14.52
35-54	40,917	27.26	4,550	24.03
55-64	11,832	7.88	1,201	6.34
65+	21,109	14.06	1,896	10.01
Male 65+	8,162	5.44	760	4.01
Female 65+	12,947	8.62	1,136	6.00

Source: U.S. Census of Population and Housing

**TABLE A.9  
POPULATION IN GROUP QUARTERS  
ROCKFORD VS NORTHEAST QUADRANT, 2000 CENSUS**

<b>SUBJECT</b>	<b>Rockford</b>	<b>% of Rockford</b>	<b>Northeast Quadrant</b>	<b>% of Northeast Quadrant</b>
<b>Total Population in Group Quarters</b>	4,326		1,234	
Institutionalized population	2,945	68.08	747	60.53
Correctional institutions	748	17.29	0	0.00
Nursing homes	1,875	43.34	719	58.27
Other institutions	322	7.44	28	2.27
Noninstitutionalized population	1,381	31.92	487	39.47
College dormitories (includes college quarters off campus)	341	7.88	341	27.63
Military quarters	0	0.00	0	0.00
Other noninstitutional group quarters	1,040	24.04	146	11.83

**TABLE A.10  
POPULATION IN GROUP QUARTERS  
ROCKFORD VS NORTHWEST QUADRANT, 2000 CENSUS**

<b>SUBJECT</b>	<b>Rockford</b>	<b>% of Rockford</b>	<b>Northwest Quadrant</b>	<b>% of Northwest Quadrant</b>
<b>Total Population in Group Quarters</b>	4,326		1,198	
Institutionalized population	2,945	68.08	962	80.30
Correctional institutions	748	17.29	0	0.00
Nursing homes	1,875	43.34	737	61.52
Other institutions	322	7.44	225	18.78
Noninstitutionalized population	1,381	31.92	236	19.70
College dormitories (includes college quarters off campus)	341	7.88	0	0.00
Military quarters	0	0.00	0	0.00
Other noninstitutional group quarters	1,040	24.04	236	19.70

**TABLE A.11  
POPULATION IN GROUP QUARTERS  
ROCKFORD VS SOUTHEAST QUADRANT, 2000 CENSUS**

<b>SUBJECT</b>	<b>Rockford</b>	<b>% of Rockford</b>	<b>Southeast Quadrant</b>	<b>% of Southeast Quadrant</b>
<b>Total Population in Group Quarters</b>	4,326		802	
Institutionalized population	2,945	68.08	481	59.98
Correctional institutions	748	17.29	0	0.00
Nursing homes	1,875	43.34	419	52.24
Other institutions	322	7.44	62	7.73
Noninstitutionalized population	1,381	31.92	321	40.02
College dormitories (includes college quarters off campus)	341	7.88	0	0.00
Military quarters	0	0.00	0	0.00
Other noninstitutional group quarters	1,040	24.04	321	40.02

**TABLE A.12**  
**POPULATION IN GROUP QUARTERS**  
**ROCKFORD VS SOUTHWEST QUADRANT, 2000 CENSUS**

<b>SUBJECT</b>	<b>Rockford</b>	<b>% of Rockford</b>	<b>Southwest Quadrant</b>	<b>% of Southwest Quadrant</b>
<b>Total Population in Group Quarters</b>	4,326		1,092	
Institutionalized population	2,945	68.08	755	69.14
Correctional institutions	748	17.29	748	68.50
Nursing homes	1,875	43.34	0	0.00
Other institutions	322	7.44	7	0.64
Noninstitutionalized population	1,381	31.92	337	30.86
College dormitories (includes college quarters off campus)	341	7.88	0	0.00
Military quarters	0	0.00	0	0.00
Other noninstitutional group quarters	1,040	24.04	337	30.86

**TABLE A.13**  
**DISABLED INDIVIDUALS BY AGE AND TYPE OF DISABILITY**  
**ROCKFORD VS NORTHEAST QUADRANT, 2000 CENSUS**

<b>TYPE OF DISABILITY</b>	<b>5-15</b>	<b>16-20</b>	<b>21-64</b>	<b>65+</b>	<b>Total</b>
<b>Rockford</b>					
Sensory	162	45	913	862	1,982
Physical	124	44	1,673	1,638	3,479
Mental	1,011	337	818	214	2,380
Self-care	83	15	65	7	170
Go-outside-home	.	161	593	1,071	1,825
Employment	.	539	5,724	.	6,263
Two or more types	349	634	7,729	3,659	12,371
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,729</b>	<b>1,775</b>	<b>17,515</b>	<b>7,451</b>	<b>28,470</b>
<b>Northeast Quadrant</b>					
Sensory	16	0	180	344	540
Physical	0	6	291	502	799
Mental	203	140	154	33	530
Self-care	1	0	15	0	16
Go-outside-home	.	10	81	311	402
Employment	.	96	989	.	1,085
Two or more types	58	61	1,274	832	2,225
<b>Total</b>	<b>278</b>	<b>313</b>	<b>2,984</b>	<b>2,022</b>	<b>5,597</b>

**TABLE A.14**  
**DISABLED INDIVIDUALS BY AGE AND TYPE OF DISABILITY**  
**ROCKFORD VS NORTHWEST QUADRANT, 2000 CENSUS**

<b>TYPE OF DISABILITY</b>	<b>5-15</b>	<b>16-20</b>	<b>21-64</b>	<b>65+</b>	<b>Total</b>
<b>Rockford</b>					
Sensory	162	45	913	862	1,982
Physical	124	44	1,673	1,638	3,479
Mental	1,011	337	818	214	2,380
Self-care	83	15	65	7	170
Go-outside-home	.	161	593	1,071	1,825
Employment	.	539	5,724	.	6,263
Two or more types	349	634	7,729	3,659	12,371
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,729</b>	<b>1,775</b>	<b>17,515</b>	<b>7,451</b>	<b>28,470</b>
<b>Northwest Quadrant</b>					
Sensory	52	6	247	163	468
Physical	59	10	380	349	798
Mental	405	44	172	56	677
Self-care	0	0	27	0	27
Go-outside-home	.	13	66	228	307
Employment	.	154	1,671	.	1,825
Two or more types	125	170	1,970	756	3,021
<b>Total</b>	<b>641</b>	<b>397</b>	<b>4,533</b>	<b>1,552</b>	<b>7,123</b>

**TABLE A.15  
DISABLED INDIVIDUALS BY AGE AND TYPE OF DISABILITY  
ROCKFORD VS SOUTHEAST QUADRANT, 2000 CENSUS**

TYPE OF DISABILITY	5-15	16-20	21-64	65+	Total
<b>Rockford</b>					
Sensory	162	45	913	862	1,982
Physical	124	44	1,673	1,638	3,479
Mental	1,011	337	818	214	2,380
Self-care	83	15	65	7	170
Go-outside-home	.	161	593	1,071	1,825
Employment	.	539	5,724	.	6,263
Two or more types	349	634	7,729	3,659	12,371
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,729</b>	<b>1,775</b>	<b>17,515</b>	<b>7,451</b>	<b>28,470</b>
<b>Southeast Quadrant</b>					
Sensory	46	21	391	307	765
Physical	27	23	781	563	1,394
Mental	314	104	321	103	842
Self-care	7	15	23	7	52
Go-outside-home	.	83	278	332	693
Employment	.	188	2,069	.	2,257
Two or more types	74	229	3,055	1,386	4,744
<b>Total</b>	<b>468</b>	<b>663</b>	<b>6,918</b>	<b>2,698</b>	<b>10,747</b>

**TABLE A.16  
DISABLED INDIVIDUALS BY AGE AND TYPE OF DISABILITY  
ROCKFORD VS SOUTHWEST QUADRANT, 2000 CENSUS**

TYPE OF DISABILITY	5-15	16-20	21-64	65+	Total
<b>Rockford</b>					
Sensory	162	45	913	862	1,982
Physical	124	44	1,673	1,638	3,479
Mental	1,011	337	818	214	2,380
Self-care	83	15	65	7	170
Go-outside-home	.	161	593	1,071	1,825
Employment	.	539	5,724	.	6,263
Two or more types	349	634	7,729	3,659	12,371
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,729</b>	<b>1,775</b>	<b>17,515</b>	<b>7,451</b>	<b>28,470</b>
<b>Southwest Quadrant</b>					
Sensory	48	18	95	48	209
Physical	38	5	221	224	488
Mental	89	49	171	22	331
Self-care	75	0	0	0	75
Go-outside-home	.	55	168	200	423
Employment	.	101	995	.	1,096
Two or more types	92	174	1,430	685	2,381
<b>Total</b>	<b>342</b>	<b>402</b>	<b>3,080</b>	<b>1,179</b>	<b>5,003</b>

## APPENDIX F. PROJECT TABLES

---

---

**Table 3  
Consolidated Plan Listing of Projects**

**Applicant's Name:** City of Rockford

**Priority Need:** High

**Project Title:** Administration

**Project Description:**

**Administrative and operating charges related to the planning and execution of Community Development activities in whole or in part with funds from the CDBG program.**

**Location:** 425 East State Street, Illinois 61104

Objective Number Goals 1 & 2	Project ID 0001
HUD Matrix Code 21A	CDBG Citation 570.206
Type of Recipient Local Government	CDBG National Objective
Start Date (mm/dd/yyyy) 01/01/2005	Completion Date (mm/dd/yyyy) 12/31/2005
Performance Indicator People (General)	Annual Units 244
Local ID 0001	Units Upon Completion 244

**Funding Sources:**

CDBG	365,520.00
ESG	.....
HOME	42,142.00
HOPWA	.....
Assisted Housing	.....
PHA	.....
PRIVATE	.....
PROGRAM INCOME	.....
Total Formula	407,662.00
Prior Year Funds	.....

The primary purpose of the project is to help:

- The Homeless   
  Persons with HIV/AIDS   
  Persons with Disabilities   
  Public Housing Needs

**Table 3  
Consolidated Plan Listing of Projects**

**Applicant's Name:** City of Rockford

**Priority Need** High

**Project Title** Rehabilitation Services

**Project Description:**

This project funds operation costs and staff positions that are primarily responsible for financing, underwriting, loan packaging, construction inspections, case tracking, intake, work write-ups and quality assurance for all housing rehabilitation programs.

**Location:** City of Rockford

Objective Number Goals 1	Project ID 0002
HUD Matrix Code 14H	CDBG Citation 570.202
Type of Recipient Local Government	CDBG National Objective Low/Mod Area
Start Date (mm/dd/yyyy) 01/01/2005	Completion Date (mm/dd/yyyy) 12/31/2005
Performance Indicator People (General)	Annual Units 244
Local ID 0002	Units Upon Completion 244

**Funding Sources:**

CDBG	764,798.00
ESG	
HOME	63,175.00
HOPWA	
Assisted Housing	
PHA	
PRIVATE	
PROGRAM INCOME	27,793.00
Total Funding	855,766.00
Prior Year Funds	35,167.00

The primary purpose of the project is to help:

- The Homeless  
  Persons with HIV/AIDS  
  Persons with Disabilities  
  Public Housing Needs

**Table 3**  
**Consolidated Plan Listing of Projects**

**Applicant's Name:** City of Rockford

**Priority Need** High

**Project Title** SF Rehab: Homebuyer Assistance, Relocation , Disposition

**Project Description:**

Focus Area programs will assist homeowners of all incomes who purchase or occupy property within the Mid Town North and South Main focus areas to make exterior improvements, interior health and safety code issues and work needed to remove lead hazards. The HELP program (from IHDA) will be provided to homebuyers to assist them with down payment and closing cost. The Homesteading program will be continued in the focus area. The Single Family programs are: Focus Area Rehab in Mid Town North and South Main, Homesteading & Single Family New Construction (West Side Alive! (WSA) Lincolnwood Estates Phase II and Champion Park (HOPE VI).

**Location:** Rockford, Illinois

Objective Number Goal 1	Project ID 0003
HUD Matrix Code 14A	CDBG Citation 570.202
Type of Recipient Local Government	CDBG National Objective Low/Mod Housing
Start Date (mm/dd/yyyy) 01/01/2005	Completion Date (mm/dd/yyyy) 12/31/2005
Performance Indicator Housing Units	Annual Units 58
Local ID 0003	Units Upon Completion 58

**Funding Sources:**

CDBG	100,00.00
ESG	
HOME	553,020.00
HOPWA	
Assisted Housing	
PHA	
PRIVATE	20,707,382.00
PROGRAM INCOME	21,204.00
Total Formula	20,728,486.00
Prior Year Funds	742,660.00

The primary purpose of the project is to help:

- The Homeless  Persons with HIV/AIDS  Persons with Disabilities  Public Housing Needs

**Table 3  
Consolidated Plan Listing of Projects**

**Applicant's Name:** City of Rockford

**Priority Need** High

**Project Title** Acquisition, Relocation and Disposition

**Project Description:**

Properties will be acquired for use in the Homestead Partnership or demolition program and vacant lots will be used for the development of single-family housing or to improve blighting conditions. Acquisitions for the Homestead program will be concentrated within the focus areas in MidTown North and South Main. In addition, Neighborhood Developments three strategy areas are: Mulberry Forest, St. Paul's Place and Seventh Street TIF Areas. Also, property on or near the West State Street corridor will be acquired to assist in a public improvement project. The relocation of tenants may be necessary.

**Location:** City of Rockford

Objective Number Goal 1	Project ID 0004
HUD Matrix Code 01	CDBG Citation 570.201(a)(1)
Type of Recipient Subrecipient private 570.500(c)	CDBG National Objective Low/Mod Area
Start Date (mm/dd/yyyy) 01/01/2005	Completion Date (mm/dd/yyyy) 12/31/005
Performance Indicator Housing Units	Annual Units 10
Local ID 0004	Units Upon Completion 10

**Funding Sources:**

CDBG	145,000.00
ESG	
HOME	
HOPWA	
Assisted Housing	
PHA	
PRIVATE	
PROGRAM INCOME	
Total Formula	145,000.00
Prior Year Funds	5,000.00

The primary purpose of the project is to help:

- The Homeless  
  Persons with HIV/AIDS  
  Persons with Disabilities  
  Public Housing Needs

**Table 3  
Consolidated Plan Listing of Projects**

**Applicant's Name:** City of Rockford

**Priority Need** High

**Project Title** Multi-Family Rehabilitation

**Project Description:**

Investor owner properties located in a focus area will be given an opportunity to access this program and receive funds for rehabilitation cost. After rehabilitation is completed, properties must meet housing quality standards. Properties located in Mid Town North or South Main will be subject to lead-base paint regulations to stabilize and control lead-base paint hazards. Owners must comply with all program guidelines. All gravel drives must be paved under this program. In addition, under staff review is the redevelopment of the Garrison School site. This site is to be redeveloped to accommodate 32 condominium units.

**Location:** 1105 N. Court Street, Rockford, Illinois

Objective Number Goals 1	Project ID 0005
HUD Matrix Code 14B	CDBG Citation 570.202
Type of Recipient Local Government	CDBG National Objective Low/Mod Area
Start Date (mm/dd/yyyy) 01/01/2005	Completion Date (mm/dd/yyyy) 12/31/2005
Performance Indicator Housing Units	Annual Units 16
Local ID 0005	Units Upon Completion 16

**Funding Sources:**

CDBG	100,000.00
ESG	
HOME	
HOPWA	
Assisted Housing	
PHA	
PRIVATE	130,000.00
PROGRAM INCOME	
Total Formula	230,000.00
Prior Year Funds	30,000.00

The primary purpose of the project is to help:

- The Homeless  
  Persons with HIV/AIDS  
  Persons with Disabilities  
  Public Housing Needs

**Table 3**  
**Consolidated Plan Listing of Projects**

**Applicant's Name:** City of Rockford

**Priority Need** High

**Project Title** Community Housing Development Organizations (Operating)

**Project Description:**

Non-profits that meet the eligible criteria may become a CHDO and receive operating funds. Funds will be awarded to six agencies: Comprehensive Community Services, Inc., Rockford New Hope, ZION Development Corporation, Pilgrim Village CDC, Hands that Help, Inc, and Trinity Inc.

**Location:** 917 S. Main Street, 219 S. 2nd Street, 502 7th Street, 200 N. First Street, 1703 S. Central Avenue, 1001 Wigton Avenue.

Objective Number Goal 2	Project ID 0006
HUD Matrix Code 21I	CDBG Citation
Type of Recipient CHDO -92.2	CDBG National Objective
Start Date (mm/dd/yyyy) 01/01/2005	Completion Date (mm/dd/yyyy) 12/31/2005
Performance Indicator Organizationsi	Annual Units 6
Local ID 0006	Units Upon Completion 6

**Funding Sources:**

CDBG	.....
ESG	.....
HOME	52,646.00
HOPWA	.....
Assisted Housing	.....
PHA	.....
PRIVATE	52,426.00
PROGRAM INCOME	.....
Total Formula	104,852.00
Prior Year Funds	.....

The primary purpose of the project is to help:

- The Homeless  Persons with HIV/AIDS  Persons with Disabilities  Public Housing Needs

**Table 3  
Consolidated Plan Listing of Projects**

**Applicant's Name:** City of Rockford

**Priority Need** High

**Project Title** Lead Base Paint Program - Get the lead Out!

**Project Description:**

A ten percent (10%) grant will be provided to the City of Rockford Human Services Department to match a State of Illinois lead-base paint federal grant. This \$25,000.00 represents year 1 of a four (4) year commitment. This program is offered citywide and only made available to low-moderate income households. The City anticipates assisting 25 low-moderate income households in new funds and if there is any carryover, at the end of the year, the carryover will be kept in this activity until the State grant is fully expended.

**Location:** City of Rockford

Objective Number Goal 2	Project ID 0007
HUD Matrix Code 14I	CDBG Citation 570.202
Type of Recipient Local Government	CDBG National Objective Low/Mod Limited Clientele
Start Date (mm/dd/yyyy) 01/01/2005	Completion Date (mm/dd/yyyy) 12/31/2005
Performance Indicator Households (General)	Annual Units 25
Local ID 0007	Units Upon Completion 25

**Funding Sources:**

CDBG	25,000.00
ESG	
HOME	
HOPWA	
Assisted Housing	
PHA	
PRIVATE	250,000.00
PROGRAM INCOME	
Total Formula	275,000.00
Prior Year Funds	

The primary purpose of the project is to help:

- The Homeless  
  Persons with HIV/AIDS  
  Persons with Disabilities  
  Public Housing Needs

**Table 3  
Consolidated Plan Listing of Projects**

**Applicant's Name:** City of Rockford

**Priority Need** High

**Project Title** Homebuyers Assistance Program

**Project Description:**

Funds will be made available through the American Dream Downpayment Initiative (ADDI) program to assist low-income families become first-time homebuyers. The ADDI program provides a loan, in the form of a mortgage, which is forgiven over five (5) years for six percent (6%) of the purchase price. the boundaries are Central Avenue on the west, Riverside on the north, the Rock River on the East and Whitman/School Street on the South. Emerson Estates Phase I will receive assistance for one (1) homebuyer which will closeout Phase I. Staff review and consideration for Phase II is expected for 2005.

**Location:** City of Rockford

Objective Number Goals 1	Project ID 0011
HUD Matrix Code 13	CDBG Citation 570.201(n)
Type of Recipient Local Government	CDBG National Objective
Start Date (mm/dd/yyyy) 01/01/2005	Completion Date (mm/dd/yyyy) 12/31/2005
Performance Indicator Households (General)	Annual Units 21
Local ID 0011	Units Upon Completion 21

**Funding Sources:**

CDBG	.....
ESG	.....
HOME	63,319.00
HOPWA	.....
Asssited Housing	.....
PHA	.....
PRIVATE	1,670,818.00
PROGRAM INCOME	.....
Total Formula	1,734,737.00
Prior Year Funds	34,999.00
	.....

The primary purpose of the project is to help:

- The Homeless  
  Persons with HIV/AIDS  
  Persons with Disabilities  
  Public Housing Needs

**Table 3  
Consolidated Plan Listing of Projects**

**Applicant's Name:** City of Rockford

**Priority Need** High

**Project Title** Economic Development Activities

**Project Description:**

The City will continue to implement its MicroEnterprise Investment Match Program, which is designed to provide near "equity-like" funding to low/moderate income entrepreneurs who are residents in the City of Rockford. Three (3) micro-enterprises will be assisted during 2005. Self-Employment Training (SET) Program, an entrepreneurial training program operated by the Rock Valley College Small Business Development Center. Two informational classes per month with general information about starting a business designed to self-screen candidates for SET classes, a total of at least three sessions, eight weeks in length, will be offered during FY 2005. Eight (80) participants are expected.

**Location:** 3301 N. Mulford Road, Rockford, IL 61114

Objective Number Goal 3	Project ID 0012
HUD Matrix Code 18C	CDBG Citation 570.201(o)
Type of Recipient Subrecipient Private 570.500 (c)	CDBG National Objective Low/Mod Limited Clientele
Start Date (mm/dd/yyyy) 01/01/2005	Completion Date (mm/dd/yyyy) 12/31/2005
Performance Indicator People (General)	Annual Units 83
Local ID 0012	Units Upon Completion 83

**Funding Sources:**

CDBG	60,000.00
ESG	
HOME	
HOPWA	
Asisted Housing	
PHA	
PRIVATE	30,000.00
PROGRAM INCOME	
Total Formula	90,000.00
Prior Year Funds	

The primary purpose of the project is to help:

- The Homeless  
  Persons with HIV/AIDS  
  Persons with Disabilities  
  Public Housing Needs

**Table 3  
Consolidated Plan Listing of Projects**

**Applicant's Name:** City of Rockford

**Priority Need** High

**Project Title** Demolition

**Project Description:**

Substandard properties that are not suitable for rehabilitation and located in our neighborhood strategy areas will be demolished through this program. The City will focus on properties identified by the Focus Area Action Plan and deteriorated properties located near schools, on major thoroughfares, and strategically located scattered sites. The City anticipates the demolition of 32 residential units and 2 non-residential structures, which includes Barber Colman.

**Location:** Midtown North, South Main, Mulberry Forest, St. Paul's Place,  
Seventh Street TIF

Objective Number Goal 1	Project ID 0013
HUD Matrix Code 04	CDBG Citation 570.201(d)
Type of Recipient Local Government	CDBG National Objective Low/Mod Housing
Start Date (mm/dd/yyyy) 01/01/2005	Completion Date (mm/dd/yyyy) 12/31/2005
Performance Indicator Housing Units	Annual Units 34
Local ID 0013	Units Upon Completion 34

**Funding Sources:**

CDBG	238,116.00
ESG	
HOME	
HOPWA	
Assisted Housing	
PHA	
PRIVATE	
PROGRAM INCOME	71,784.00
Total Formula	309,000.00
Prior Year Funds	350,000.00

The primary purpose of the project is to help:

- The Homeless  
  Persons with HIV/AIDS  
  Persons with Disabilities  
  Public Housing Needs

**Table 3  
Consolidated Plan Listing of Projects**

**Applicant's Name:** City of Rockford

**Priority Need** High

**Project Title** Community Housing Development Organizations Projects

**Project Description:**

Funds will be made available to eligible CHDO's on a first come-first served basis to subsidize the gap needed to produce affordable housing homebuyer and rental projects within their strategy areas. Lincolnwood Estates Phase II, just north of Phase I, at Pierpont and Green, is anticipated to be funded in 2005 with these funds. Assistance will be provided to Comprehensive Community Services (CCS) Inc. to construct three (3) YouthBuild homes under this grant. CCS acquired donated lots from the City of Rockford Homestead Board in 2004.

**Location:** Rockford, Illinois

Objective Number Goal 2	Project ID 0014
HUD Matrix Code 14A	CDBG Citation 570.202
Type of Recipient CHDO - 92.2	CDBG National Objective Low/Mod housing
Start Date (mm/dd/yyyy) 01/01/2005	Completion Date (mm/dd/yyyy) 12/31/2005
Performance Indicator Housing Units	Annual Units 26
Local ID 0014	Units Upon Completion 26

**Funding Sources:**

CDBG	.....
ESG	.....
HOME	341,938.00
HOPWA	.....
Assisted Housing	.....
PHA	.....
PRIVATE	2,100,000.00
PROGRAM INCOME	.....
Total Formula	2,441,938.00
Prior Year Funds	428,000.00
	.....

The primary purpose of the project is to help:

- The Homeless  
  Persons with HIV/AIDS  
  Persons with Disabilities  
  Public Housing Needs

**Table 3**  
**Consolidated Plan Listing of Projects**

**Applicant's Name:** City of Rockford

**Priority Need** High

**Project Title** Community Asssitance Programs

**Project Description:**

Funds will be awarded to Neighborhood groups and/or other non-profits to do special projects that serve lower-income persons. Priority will be given to projects serving the City's designated neighborhood strategy areas, projects which build the capacity of non-housing not-for-profits, new or the expansion of centers, and their services for youth, projects involving neighborhood planning, and projects which address issues identified under welfare reform and the Healthy Communities Study. The City anticipates assisting nine (9) Neighborhood groups/non-profits through the competitive Request for Proposal approach. Also these projects will be funded: RAMP, Rockford Area Affordable Housing Coalition (RAAHC) homebuyers counselor, Fair Housing \$1,500 and Infoline \$7,500. Pilgrim Village Daycare funds were deobligated and reprogrammed in 2004. RAMP will provide accessibility ramps to low/moderated income disabled people. Booker Washington Community Center improvements are being proposed.

**Location:** City of Rockford

Objective Number Goal 2	Project ID 0015
HUD Matrix Code 05	CDBG Citation 570.201(e)
Type of Recipient Subrecipient Private 570.500	CDBG National Objective Low/Mod Limited Clientele
Start Date (mm/dd/yyyy) 01/01/2005	Completion Date (mm/dd/yyyy) 12/31/2005
Performance Indicator Organizations	Annual Units 43
Local ID 0015	Units Upon Completion 43

**Funding Sources:**

CDBG	198,500.00
ESG	
HOME	
HOPWA	
Assisted Housing	
PHA	
PRIVATE	198,500.00
PROGRAM INCOME	
Total Formula	397,000.00
Prior Year Funds	

The primary purpose of the project is to help:

- The Homeless
  Persons with HIV/AIDS
  Persons with Disabilities
  Public Housing Needs

**Table 3  
Consolidated Plan Listing of Projects**

**Applicant's Name:** City of Rockford

**Priority Need** High

**Project Title** Economic Development Services

**Project Description:**

To provide intake, underwriting, implementation , marketing, monitoring for CDBG - eligible and/or CDBG assisted activities. The City will utilize CDBG funds to provide economic services to entrepreneurs, business and property owners, developers, CBDO's and other interested parties in order to facilitate development and provide more goods, services, and jobs to low/moderte income neighborhoods, including those above the "threshold of support".

**Location:** 425 E. State Street, Rockford, Illinois 61104

Objective Number Goal 3	Project ID 0017
HUD Matrix Code 18B	CDBG Citation 570.203(b)
Type of Recipient Local Government	CDBG National Objective Low/Mod Area
Start Date (mm/dd/yyyy) 01/01/2005	Completion Date (mm/dd/yyyy) 12/31/2005
Performance Indicator Businesses	Annual Units
Local ID 0017	Units Upon Completion

**Funding Sources:**

CDBG	314,066.00
ESG	.....
HOME	.....
HOPWA	.....
Assisted Housing	.....
PHA	.....
PRIVATE	.....
PROGRAM INCOME	.....
Total Formula	314,066.00
Prior Year Funds	19,334.00
	.....

The primary purpose of the project is to help:

- The Homeless  Persons with HIV/AIDS  Persons with Disabilities  Public Housing Needs

**Table 3  
Consolidated Plan Listing of Projects**

**Applicant's Name:** City of Rockford

**Priority Need** High

**Project Title** Economic Development Activities

**Project Description:**

Programs will be divided into Primary Employer Assistance and Retail/Service Assistance. Primary employer are those that send their product and/or service outside the local economy, or those that sell their product and/or service to other businesses to be included in their finished product and/or service that will be sold outside the area. All Primary employers requesting CDBG Assistance will be evaluated to determine if they meet a national objective. That national objective may include low/moderate income benefit either in the form of job creation or in the form of benefiting a low/moderate income area. Small Business Loan Program (\$30,000, West State Commercial Shopsteading (\$15,000 - 2004 carryover & \$10,000 new funds 2005); CAMCO (will receive payment three of six for \$125,000 new funds 2005); Flexible Networks system will be created to allow small companies to work collaboratively in the areas of employee training, project bidding, cost reduction, industrial/manufacturing employment retention and share technology in an area that has seen a decline of 26% manufacturing based job loss over the past five years (\$50,000 – new funds 2005).

**Location:** Rockford, Illinois

Objective Number Goal 3	Project ID 0018
HUD Matrix Code 18A	CDBG Citation 570.203(b )
Type of Recipient Local Government	CDBG National Objective Low/Mod Jobs
Start Date (mm/dd/yyyy) 01/01/2005	Completion Date (mm/dd/yyyy) 12/31/2005
Performance Indicator Businesses	Annual Units 9
Local ID 0018	Units Upon Completion 9

**Funding Sources:**

CDBG	215,000.00
ESG	
HOME	
HOPWA	
Assisted Housing	
PHA	
PRIVATE	7,000,000.00
PROGRAM INCOME	
Total Formula	7,215,000.00
Prior Year Funds	140,000.00

The primary purpose of the project is to help:

- The Homeless  
  Persons with HIV/AIDS  
  Persons with Disabilities  
  Public Housing Needs

**Table 3  
Consolidated Plan Listing of Projects**

**Applicant's Name:** City of Rockford

**Priority Need** High

**Project Title** American Red Cross

**Project Description:**

**Funds are made available to cover cost of essential services approximately (\$19,000) and operations (\$17,929), operating salaries (\$7,000) of the American Red Cross Shelter. All funding awards are contingent upon the Mayors Homeless Task Force Recommendations.**

**Location:** 727 N. Church Street, Rockford, Illinois 61103

Objective Number Goal 2	Project ID 0019
HUD Matrix Code 03T	CDBG Citation 570.201(e)
Type of Recipient Subrecipient Private 570.500 (c)	CDBG National Objective
Start Date (mm/dd/yyyy) 01/01/2005	Completion Date (mm/dd/yyyy) 12/31/2005
Performance Indicator Organizations	Annual Units 1
Local ID 0019	Units Upon Completion 1

**Funding Sources:**

CDBG	.....
ESG	43,929.00
HOME	.....
HOPWA	.....
Assisted Housing	.....
PHA	.....
PRIVATE	43,929.00
PROGRAM INCOME	.....
Other Funding	.....
Total Formula	87,858.00
Prior Year Funds	.....

The primary purpose of the project is to help:

- The Homeless
  Persons with HIV/AIDS
  Persons with Disabilities
  Public Housing Needs

**Table 3  
Consolidated Plan Listing of Projects**

**Applicant's Name:** City of Rockford

**Priority Need** High

**Project Title** Homeless Program Administration

**Project Description:**

Funds are made available to assist in covering the cost of administering The Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) activities.

**Location:** 425 East State Street, Rockford, Illinois 61104

Objective Number Goal 2	Project ID 0019
HUD Matrix Code 03T	CDBG Citation 570.201(e)
Type of Recipient Subrecipient Private 570.500 (c)	CDBG National Objective
Start Date (mm/dd/yyyy) 01/01/2005	Completion Date (mm/dd/yyyy) 12/31/2005
Performance Indicator Organizations	Annual Units 1
Local ID 0019	Units Upon Completion 1

**Funding Sources:**

CDBG	.....
ESG	4,600.00
HOME	.....
HOPWA	.....
Assisted Housing	.....
PHA	.....
PRIVATE	.....
PROGRAM INCOME	.....
Total Formula	4,600.00
Prior Year Funds	.....

The primary purpose of the project is to help:

- The Homeless
  Persons with HIV/AIDS
  Persons with Disabilities
  Public Housing Needs

**Table 3  
Consolidated Plan Listing of Projects**

**Applicant's Name:** City of Rockford

**Priority Need** High

**Project Title** PHASE

**Project Description:**

**Funds are made available to cover cost of essential services (\$978) and operations (\$6,320) of the PHASE Shelter. PHASE provides 33 transitional beds, including 5 infant beds for Homeless persons. All funding awards are contingent upon the Mayors Homeless Task Force Recommendations.**

**Location:** 319 S. Church Street, Rockford, IL 61102

Objective Number Goal 2	Project ID 0022
HUD Matrix Code 03T	CDBG Citation 570.201(e)
Type of Recipient Subrecipient Private 570.500 (c)	CDBG National Objective
Start Date (mm/dd/yyyy) 01/01/2005	Completion Date (mm/dd/yyyy) 12/31/2005
Performance Indicator Organizations	Annual Units 1
Local ID 0022	Units Upon Completion 1

**Funding Sources:**

CDBG	.....
ESG	7,298.00
HOME	.....
HOPWA	.....
Assisted Housing	.....
PHA	.....
PRIVATE	7,298.00
PROGRAM INCOME	.....
Total Formula	14,596.00
Prior Year Funds	14,596.00
	.....

The primary purpose of the project is to help:

- The Homeless
  Persons with HIV/AIDS
  Persons with Disabilities
  Public Housing Needs

**Table 3**  
**Consolidated Plan Listing of Projects**

**Applicant's Name:** City of Rockford

**Priority Need** High

**Project Title** MELD

**Project Description:**

Funds are made available to cover the cost of Operations of MELD shelter. The Homeless shelter is for pregnant and parenting teens. All funding awards are contingent upon the Mayor's Homeless Task Force recommendations.

**Location:** 620 Kishwaukee, Rockford, Illinois 61104

Objective Number Goal 2	Project ID 0024
HUD Matrix Code 03T	CDBG Citation 570.201(e)
Type of Recipient Subrecipient Private 570.500 (c)	CDBG National Objective
Start Date (mm/dd/yyyy) 01/01/2005	Completion Date (mm/dd/yyyy) 12/31/2005
Performance Indicator Organizations	Annual Units 1
Local ID 0024	Units Upon Completion 1

**Funding Sources:**

CDBG	.....
ESG	15,792.00
HOME	.....
HOPWA	.....
Assisted Housing	.....
PHA	.....
PRIVATE	.....
PROGRAM INCOME	.....
Total Formula	31,584.00
Prior Year Funds	.....

The primary purpose of the project is to help:

- The Homeless
  Persons with HIV/AIDS
  Persons with Disabilities
  Public Housing Needs

**Table 3  
Consolidated Plan Listing of Projects**

**Applicant's Name:** City of Rockford

**Priority Need** High

**Project Title** Shelter Care Ministries

**Project Description:**

**Funds are made available to cover operational costs of the Shelter Care Ministries transitional housing for families. All funding awards are contingent upon the Mayor's Homeless Task Force recommendations.**

**Location:** 412 N. Church Street, Rockford Illinois 61103

Objective Number Goal 2	Project ID 0025
HUD Matrix Code 03T	CDBG Citation 570.201(e)
Type of Recipient Subrecipient Private 570.500 (c )	CDBG National Objective
Start Date (mm/dd/yyyy) 01/01/2005	Completion Date (mm/dd/yyyy) 12/31/2005
Performance Indicator Organizations	Annual Units 1
Local ID 0025	Units Upon Completion 1

**Funding Sources:**

CDBG	.....
ESG	12,360.00
HOME	.....
HOPWA	.....
Assisted Housing	.....
PHA	.....
PRIVATE	.....
PROGRAM INCOME	.....
Total Formula	24,720.00
Prior Year Funds	.....

The primary purpose of the project is to help:

- The Homeless
  Persons with HIV/AIDS
  Persons with Disabilities
  Public Housing Needs

**Table 3  
Consolidated Plan Listing of Projects**

**Applicant's Name:** City of Rockford

**Priority Need** High

**Project Title** Section 108 – Standby Debt Service

**Project Description:**

Section 108 was applied for in the Fall of 2004 for the W.A. Whitney project. This local manufacturing company located in a low/moderated income neighborhood, which is being acquired by a competitor in another state. The City will pledge it's future CDBG entitlement to HUD as a contingent funding source if the assisted project is unable to pay the 108 debt. It is anticipated that this project will result in the creation of 25 to 30 additional jobs over the next three (3) years. Additionally, at least 52% of the jobs will be made available to or taken by low/moderate eligible applicants.

**Location:** Rockford, Illinois

Objective Number Goal 3	Project ID 0026
HUD Matrix Code 19F	CDBG Citation 570.705 (c)
Type of Recipient Local Government	CDBG National Objective Low/Mod Area
Start Date (mm/dd/yyyy) 01/01/2005	Completion Date (mm/dd/yyyy) 12/31/2005
Performance Indicator Businesses	Annual Units 1
Local ID 0026	Units Upon Completion 1

**Funding Sources:**

CDBG	20,000.00
ESG	.....
HOME	.....
HOPWA	.....
Assisted Housing	.....
PHA	.....
PRIVATE	.....
PROGRAM INCOME	.....
Total Formula	20,000.00
Prior Year Funds	.....

The primary purpose of the project is to help:

- The Homeless  
  Persons with HIV/AIDS  
  Persons with Disabilities  
  Public Housing Needs

**Table 3  
Consolidated Plan Listing of Projects**

**Applicant's Name:** City of Rockford

**Priority Need** High

**Project Title** Contact of Rockford, Inc

**Project Description:**

**Funds are made available to cover operational costs of Contact of Rockford, Inc. for all Homeless prevention activities. All funding awards are contingent upon the Mayor's Homeless Task Force Recommendations.**

**Location:** Rockford, Illinois

Objective Number Goal 2	Project ID 0028
HUD Matrix Code 03T	CDBG Citation 570.201(e)
Type of Recipient Subrecipient Private 570.500 c	CDBG National Objective
Start Date (mm/dd/yyyy) 01/01/2005	Completion Date (mm/dd/yyyy) 12/31/2005
Performance Indicator Organizations	Annual Units 1
Local ID 0028	Units Upon Completion 1

**Funding Sources:**

CDBG	.....
ESG	2,868.00
HOME	.....
HOPWA	.....
Assisted Housing	.....
PHA	.....
PRIVATE	.....
PROGRAM INCOME	.....
Total Formula	5,736.00
Prior Year Funds	.....

The primary purpose of the project is to help:

- The Homeless
  Persons with HIV/AIDS
  Persons with Disabilities
  Public Housing Needs

**Table 3**  
**Consolidated Plan Listing of Projects**

**Applicant's Name:** City of Rockford

**Priority Need** High

**Project Title** Promised Land Employment

**Project Description:**

**Funds are made available to cover operational costs of Promised Land Employment for all Homeless prevention activities. All funding awards are contingent upon the Mayor's Homeless Task Force Recommendations.**

**Location:** 518 7<sup>th</sup> Street, Rockford, Illinois 61104

Objective Number Goal 2	Project ID 0029
HUD Matrix Code 03T	CDBG Citation 570.201(e)
Type of Recipient Subrecipient Private 570.500 (c )	CDBG National Objective
Start Date (mm/dd/yyyy) 01/01/2005	Completion Date (mm/dd/yyyy) 12/31/2005
Performance Indicator Organizations	Annual Units 1
Local ID 0029	Units Upon Completion 1

**Funding Sources:**

CDBG	.....
ESG	11,470.00
HOME	.....
HOPWA	.....
Assisted Housing	.....
PHA	.....
PRIVATE	11,470.00
PROGRAM INCOME	.....
Total Formula	22,940.00
Prior Year Funds	.....

The primary purpose of the project is to help:

- The Homeless
  Persons with HIV/AIDS
  Persons with Disabilities
  Public Housing Needs

## Appendix E. 2005 Annual Action Plan Addenda

---

### Annual PHA Plan PHA Fiscal Year 2005 [24 CFR Part 903.7]

#### Annual Plan Type

[Select which type of Annual Plan the PHA will submit.]

Standard Plan

Streamlined Plan:

High Performing PHA

Small Agency (<250 Public Housing Units)

Administering Section 8 Only

Troubled Agency Plan

**Executive Summary of the Annual PHA Plan** [24 CFR Part 903.7 9 (r). Provide a brief overview of the information in the Annual Plan, including highlights of major initiatives and discretionary policies the PHA has included in the Annual Plan.]

**Rockford Housing Authority (RHA) Profile.** The RHA currently manages 2,004 units of low-income public housing in 15 development projects. RHA is also the parent organization of the Rockford Housing Development Corporation (RHDC), which involves the management of two project based Section 8 projects consisting of 216 units at Concord Commons Apartments and 20 units at River North Apartments. The RHA also administers a Section 8 tenant-based program within the City of Rockford that currently serves approximately 1,859 families through the Housing Choice Voucher Program, Moderate Rehabilitation program, and several small developments in which the RHA partners with several local service agencies providing Project Based Vouchers for persons with special needs.

Overall, RHA/RHDC programs serve about 3.25 percent of Rockford's total population and make up about 9.5 percent of the City's rental housing stock.

#### Objectives for FY2005

The following initiatives are some of the Rockford Housing Authority projects currently in the planning stage or in process.

The Rockford Housing Authority has submitted a questionnaire to IHDA expressing interest in pursuing the possibility of leveraging its Capital Fund monies through participation in IHDA's "Capital Fund Program Revenue Bond Pool." Prior to making a decision on whether to participate in this program, a "Physical Needs Assessment" of all Low Income Public Housing programs will be completed and the Rockford Housing Authority's strategic plan will be updated.

The Rockford Housing Authority is currently exploring cooperative initiatives with the City of Rockford, the Winnebago County Housing Authority, and a local affordable housing developer for the purpose of maximizing resources and developing alternative income streams in order to

better address the current and future affordable housing needs of our community. Items under discussion include the demolition and/or redevelopment of Concord Commons Apartments through a cooperative effort with the Winnebago County Housing Authority in conjunction with their HOPE VI grant, and de-densification and/or demolition of high-density problematic developments such as Fairgrounds Valley and Jane Addams Village. Concurrent with the plans to de-densify and demolish existing properties is the exploration of available financing options that will result in the development of mixed-finance and mixed-income housing.

At this time, the City of Rockford intends to replace the Morgan Street Bridge, which is located just west of Jane Addams Village. As part of their replacement, the bridge will be relocated one span to the south. This shift will require the realignment of College Avenue. The City will need to acquire the Jane Addams Housing units located on the south side of the current street location. This will equate to approximately 7 percent of the Brewington Oaks/Jane Addams Housing Development. To properly position the Authority, we will seek a 1-to-1 replacement housing posture with the City of Rockford. The Authority will also seek a Section 18 (Demolition/Disposition) application with HUD to address the remainder of the Jane Addams property, which equates to 9 percent of the total development. Per the Section 18 application, the Authority will meet with residents to keep them informed of any and all progress, provide counseling as needed, provide moving assistance (should that time come), and ensure 1-to-1 quality housing replacement should the remaining portion of Jane Addams be disposed.

Through the “Replacement Housing Factor (RHF) the Rockford Housing Authority is in the process of purchasing two stand alone three (3) bedroom homes. The Rockford Housing Authority plans to partner with a local agency known as YouthBuild, which will complete any remodeling work required to prepare the homes for rental as Scattered Site properties.

In order to maximize our cooperative efforts in the Rockford community, members of the RHA staff participate on numerous boards and steering committees including:

- West State Street Corridor Planning Committee
- Morgan Street Bridge Planning Committee
- Joint Purchasing Committee
- Fair Housing Board Representation
- Advisory Council of Shelter Care Ministries
- Mayor’s Homeless Task Force
- Homeless Provider’s Committee
- City of Rockford Citizen’s Participation Committee

Sincerely,  
Lewis Jordan, Executive Director

---

## **City of Rockford Community Development Programs Citizen Participation Plan**

The administration of all Community Development Programs is a function of the Community Development Department granted through a policy directive from the Rockford City Council. Even though the elected City officials have unrestricted responsibility and authority for these Community Development Programs, the City recognizes citizen involvement in the decision making process is of greater benefit to all parties in the development and implementation of each program's goals and objectives.

In order to provide citizens with opportunities to participate in an advisory role in planning, implementing and assessing the Community Development Programs, the City will provide adequate information to citizens; hold public hearings to obtain views of the citizens, and provide citizens an opportunity to comment on the City's community development performance. The Citizen Participation Plan was developed as a mechanism to address those concerns.

Integral to the Citizen Participation Plan is the establishment of the Citizen Participation Committee (CPC). The Committee can be viewed as a formal/structured means of receiving and disseminating information for citizen action and input toward Community Development plans, programs, and activities. The role of the Committee and its composition will be presented later.

The purpose of the Citizen Participation Plan is to identify the process, procedures and policies to be followed by the City of Rockford regarding the involvement of citizens in its Community Development Programs (CDP). While the Plan is designed to meet the federal regulations associated with each, it has been expanded beyond those requirements to further enhance citizen participation. Nothing in the Plan, however, shall be construed to restrict the responsibility and authority of the City officials for the development of the application and the execution of its Community Development Programs.

This plan outlines the means by which citizens can actively participate in the CDP process. In all instances, citizen participation will be conducted in an open manner, with freedom of access for all interested persons. Citizens will be provided adequate and timely information that enables them to be meaningfully involved in the CDBG program. All citizens, particularly low and moderate income persons and persons/residents of blighted neighborhoods, shall be encouraged to submit views and proposals regarding the City's Community Development Programs.

Additionally, minorities and non English speaking persons as well as mobility, visually and hearing impaired persons will be encouraged to participate. The staff of the Community Development Department will be responsible for providing necessary technical assistance to groups and/or individuals that request assistance in developing proposals and statements of views. Further, staff may assist citizens in organizing neighborhood and project area organizations in carrying out Community Development Program activities.

Citizens may submit their views and proposals, 1) directly to the City via the Department of Community Development; 2) to recognized neighborhood groups/associations, non profit community service organizations; 3) to the Citizen Participation Committee; 4) and/or at public hearings. Timely responses to all proposals will be made, including written responses to written proposals stating the reasons for the action taken by the City on the proposal. The City will make every effort to respond within 30 days of the receipt of the request, unless otherwise designated.

The CPC will be central to the implementation of the Citizen Participation Plan. The Citizen Participation Committee will be appointed by the Mayor with the consent of the City Council.

The Committee will be expected to function as the formal/structured means of receiving and disseminating information for citizen input and action both community-wide and at a neighborhood level, toward the planning, implementation and evaluation of community development programs. Additionally, the Committee will be the vehicle to ensure continuity of citizen involvement through all stages of the Community Development Programs.

The Committee will consist of eleven members. Terms of membership shall be as follows: five positions—3 year terms; six positions—2 year terms. Members shall serve until their successors are appointed; they resign, or upon yearly review (at the end of the program year) it is indicated the member has missed more than 50 percent of the Committee meetings without cause. In such instances, the member will be asked to resign.

All members of the Committee must be residents of the City of Rockford. Membership is not limited to those who reside in lower income census tracts. However, since most activities are either directed to such areas or benefit residents of such areas, residency is strongly encouraged.

With initial and each subsequent appointment extreme efforts should be taken to reflect representing low to moderate income, minority, and of areas where significant activities are proposed. Additionally, the elderly, persons with disabilities, the business community, and civic groups who are concerned about the program should have representation. Discrimination because of race, creed, color, religion, national origin, sex or income in making appointments is prohibited.

The Mayor will make appointment to the CPC from a list of candidates submitted by the Citizen Participation Committee, ad hoc citizen groups, neighborhood groups, community service organizations serving low to moderate income persons, elderly, and/or persons with disabilities as well as the community at large. Vacancies, expirations and committee replacements shall be made public through the Community Development Department and/or interagency announcements. All members of the CPC shall have resumes on file which are available for public review if requested.

Although no formal committee structure exists, ad hoc committees will be formed on an as needed basis.

The full CPC shall meet at least four times per program year. A quorum shall be a majority of the membership. A majority shall be 51 percent of those in attendance at a formal CPC meeting. Formal recommendations of the CPC will require a majority vote.

The Citizen Participation Committee will minimally be involved in:

**Program Development.** The CPC will provide advisory input toward decisions affecting priority-setting and housing and community development needs identification, including the Five Year Consolidated Plan and Strategy; the Annual Plan; Special CDP Project reviews such as “Community Assistance Program; other strategic plans and substantial changes resulting in amending the Annual Plan, dollar allocations and/or targeted population or geographic area.

**Performance Assessment.** The CPC will be involved in assessing and providing comments regarding the City’s community development performance. The formal mechanism for assessing and documenting performance shall be the Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation report or CAPER. The CAPER shall come before the full CPC prior to submittal to the Planning and Development Committee of the City Council for approval.

## Public Hearings and Notices

A minimum of three public hearings will be held throughout the program year. Each of the hearing arrangements and timing will be so as to allow City-wide participation including persons with disabilities. Additionally when it is evident a significant number of non English speaking residents will be present arrangements will be made to have an interpreter present. A public hearing(s) will take place prior to the major yearly application submission. A second hearing will be held to provide a review of the proceeding year's CDP accomplishments (GPR) and/or evaluate and determine special projects, i.e., CAP. Notice of public hearing will minimally be made via the media.

The purpose of the public hearings will be to make adequate information available to citizens concerning: 1) the amounts of funds available; 2) the range of allowable activities; 3) estimated amount of funds proposed for activities that meet the national objective of benefit to low and moderate income persons; 4) proposed activities likely to result in displacement and plans for minimizing displacement; 5) the types and levels of assistance available to persons displaced by CDP funded activities; 6) the application process; 7) past or last annual assessments of performance; 8) the role of citizens in the application/evaluation process and 9) other important program information. Additionally, the hearing will allow for obtaining of views from citizens on the City's community development needs.

A notice of availability of a proposed plan for public review and comment will be published in the local newspaper(s) prior to the submittal to HUD of the yearly grant application. Comments made by citizens resulting from the publication and the public hearing(s) will be considered at the CPC meeting prior to final submission.

Notice and opportunity for citizen comment on proposed activities not previously described in the Annual Plan and activities which are proposed to be deleted or substantially changed in terms of purpose, scope, location or beneficiaries will be provided. The criteria the City will use to determine what constitutes a substantial change will be increases or decreases in grant dollars or activity dollars that cumulatively totals twenty percent that program year's grant allocation.

The City shall also make copies of the Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report (CAPER) available to the public for review and comment. This may be done per publication of the report within local newspapers with a request for comments. The third public hearing will be conducted to receive citizen feedback regarding the CAPER and/or special projects. The availability of the performance and evaluation information will be made known per newspaper publication and will be provided in sufficient time to permit comments prior to submission of the report to the Department of Housing and Urban Development.

Information announcing public hearings and significant events in the Community Development process will be published in the local newspaper(s) at least 7 days prior to the meeting. The notice will contain:

- 1) Date
- 2) Time
- 3) Place
- 4) Topic(s) to be discussed.

Information can be available at main and neighborhood libraries at same time as newspaper publication.

Nothing in the above shall prevent the City from holding CPC meetings and public hearings concurrently.

## **Citizen Participation Records and Information**

The Community Development Department will be responsible for the maintenance of records of citizen participation activities. The records will be maintained for each program year for a period of three years after the closing date of the program year. The records will be available for review in the Department's office upon request during normal working hours. Documents to be maintained will include: 1) all mailing and promotional material; 2) records of hearings and meetings; 3) all key documents, including all prior Annual Plans, letters of approval, grant agreements, the Citizen Participation Plan, performance reports, evaluation reports, other reports required by HUD; 4) copies of regulations and assurances governing the program; 5) documents regarding other important program requirements, such as contracting procedures, environmental policies, fair housing and other equal opportunity requirements and relocation provisions.

The availability of these records and information shall be consistent with applicable State and local laws regarding personal privacy and obligations of confidentiality.

## **Grievance, Complaints, and Objections**

Any person or group feeling aggrieved in connection with the equal opportunity requirements of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974 as amended with respect to any portion of the local Community Development Program or any of its project activities shall present those grievances in written form to the Director of the City of Rockford's Department of Community Development. The director will make every effort to provide a written decision on the grievance within 15 working days of a written complaint. If the aggrieved person feels the decision by the director is not acceptable, they may submit the written complaint to the Mayor of the City of Rockford. The Mayor will attempt to respond in writing within 15 days of receipt of the written complaint.

If the person submitting the grievance continues to feel unsatisfied, the Department will assist them in pursuing to submit and have their grievance heard by the Department of Housing and Urban Development. The area office, the regional office, and finally the central office will be exhausted in that order. The decision of the central office of the Department of Housing and Urban Development will be final.

A record of each grievance received by the Department's director will be maintained. Included within the record will be:

- 1) Name of person or persons alleging grievance.
- 2) Date of receipt.
- 3) Nature of the grievance.
- 4) Action taken by the Department.
- 5) Action taken by the local governing body.
- 6) Final disposition of the grievance.

### **Programs currently affected by the CP plan:**

- Community Development Block Grant (CDBG)
- HOME Investment Partnerships (HOME)
- American Dream Downpayment Initiative (ADDI)
- Emergency Shelter Grant (ESG)
- Housing opportunities for Persons with AIDS (HOPWA)

---

## **City of Rockford Public Meeting Minutes September 16, 2004**

Mr. Gaudin introduced himself and explained the purpose for this public hearing. He's here to talk about the Five-Year Consolidated Plan for Housing and Community Development. The Draft has been submitted to the City of Rockford for review and copies are available for public review. Mr. Gaudin will be giving an overview of the draft plan using a PowerPoint presentation. Vicki will later talk about the Annual Action Plan and how these two processes work together.

Mr. Gaudin explained that the process is actually a decade old. It includes HOPWA, but the City of Rockford does not receive HOPWA funds. In 1994, when HUD consolidated this plan it consolidated four separate planning processes. The idea was to put all programs together and all your needs together and prioritize them and get a better sense of how to allocate the resources. Resources are always limited. The Act itself put a number of things in the planning process related specifically to housing. In some regards, the Consolidated Plan is geared toward housing planning. There are three primary goals: to provide decent housing, to provide a suitable living environment, and to expand economic opportunities.

Approximately one year ago, we did research on the City and housing and received much information. Mr. Gaudin will touch on some of those highlights. After reviewing this information, we began developing strategies with the City staff and objectives that will address those items within the next five years.

Mr. Gaudin explained that there are significant differences around the City. In order to get to the problem, he had to segment the City by Census tracts into four areas. He proceeded to explain the areas of these four tracts. He looks at Census data and employment data to get a sense of how the City is doing.

Mr. Gaudin continued by going over some of the data, telling us how we got where we are. This relates to demographic issues, economic issues, housing, homeless data, and most importantly population in housing and what is going to happen in the future.

Demographics in the City are significantly different over the last decade. We see a sharp difference between the east and west. The West Side is declining, particularly the Southwest. The housing study that was done earlier is in final form and it will soon be on the Web site. Overall, the population of the City grew approximately 7.7 percent or about 10,000 in population. There is a significant difference in racial makeup over these 10 years. We are seeing Whites leaving the City (-3.4 percent), a substantial increase in blacks (25 percent), increase in Asians (55 percent), and all other races (246.2 percent), which includes Hawaiian, Native American, and others.

These number means the City is increasing racial diversity. Whites are leaving certain parts of the City and moving into the northeast. Blacks are mostly leaving the Southwest and show a significant increase in the population moving to the Northeast, Northwest and Southeast. Mr.

---

Gaudin continued to go over the racial demographics as reflected through page 11 of the PowerPoint slides.

Households grew 7.9 percent. He mentioned there are fewer family households, more childless households, and more unrelated persons living together as a household. What this means is that the number of persons per household is shrinking.

Mr. Gaudin reported 28,470 disabled persons living in Rockford, as shown on page 14 of the PowerPoint slides.

On page 15, Mr. Gaudin referred to the economics from 1990-2000. He explained the percent of households within certain income ranges

Employment, as reflected on page 17, shows a 4.1 percent in growth. The numbers show an east/west issue, where the east side is gaining employment and the west side is losing employment. The west side declining more quickly than the east side, declining more quickly than households, implies that there is a greater underutilization of labor force on the west side than on the east side.

Mr. Gaudin continued to go over page 18, which shows the five largest employment sectors and the breakdown in numbers from 1990-2000. This shows the biggest decrease (-15 percent) in the area of manufacturing.

The important thing in economic development is jobs.

In this consolidated planning process, we realized how important housing really is. Without good housing, how can you get good jobs? In going over pages 21 through 23, he said total housing grew 9.3 percent. The west side actually lost housing and the biggest growth was on the Northeast showing a 22.8 percent increase. At the same time, home ownership has increased. The number of rental units in the Northeast has grown tremendously (30.4 percent) and that has increased faster than regular single-family owner occupied homes. That is why it shows a decline of 2.6 percent of home ownership in the Northeast.

He continued to explain Rental Vacancy Rates, as shown on page 24. Vacancy rate means “for rent” or “for sale” plus occupied. It does not include houses that have been rented, but not occupied yet. It doesn’t include seasonal or recreational or vacation houses. This raises the question of who takes care of this property (that is, mowing, broken window, roof repairs, etc).

One of the things that the City must face with their housing programs is the risk of lead-based paint. With homes in Illinois built prior to 1976, the owner has a higher chance of lead-based paint hazard (refer to page 27).

The Total Projection of Housing Production or building permits issued from 1981-2002 shows a couple hundred units, including single-family homes. This information was received from the Building Department.

---

He said that there was a telephone survey that had been done some time ago asking people about rental markets. They also called property managers to ask about rental rates. Basically, the Northeast averaged over \$660 per month and the Southwest \$441 per month.

In reviewing page 30, he explained the graph that shows how much the gross rent (rent plus utilities) is by Census tract. This shows lower gross rent where there is lower income. These areas are highly concentrated.

He mentioned they went down and spoke with the Rockford Township Assessor to get a database from them that depicted all the residential property and their style. This is how they evaluate each house for tax purposes. They are also able to track the sales price of these homes. Mr. Gaudin went over page 31 and explained the difference in the sale price of single-family homes and how they differ in each area.

In reviewing page 33, The Rockford MSA Housing Price Index, he said the Office of Federal Housing Enterprise Oversight is a regulatory agency over Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac Mortgage lenders. They keep track of homes that come through their programs.

Mr. Gaudin continued to go over and explain further on the Township Assessor information and how it relates to housing conditions. As he explained the section on demolitions, he commented that there are about 100 units each year that are demolished.

He said the population data was taken from the Health System Research Group at the Illinois College of Medicine in Rockford. He did a prediction of persons per household, which basically declines in the Northeast but holds steady everywhere else. Home ownership increases in the other areas, and stabilizes in the Northeast.

The information on the Rockford Homeless Housing Needs came from the region under our Continuum of Care and he explained this section. This shows that we continue to have problems serving this population. There are almost 740 homeless individuals and another 856 homeless families. He went into more detail regarding the section on homelessness.

In summary, growth is slow but continues. Racial and ethnic diversity is rising. The number of persons per households continues to decline in the Northeast quadrant, but levels off elsewhere. Incomes continue to rise, but there are many households in lower income groups. The manufacturing sector has declined, but other sectors show promise: education, social and professional services, and entertainment. Rental units have increased in the Northeast. Overall, there are too many vacant and dilapidated units.

The five-year objective and strategies are based on public input through various meetings over the past year. One of the main issues is to reduce housing blight and blighting influences in neighborhoods. This could be accomplished by rehabbing suitable homeowner units, promoting new construction for in-fill, and reducing blighted housing.

Secondly, increasing the provision of affordable housing could be accomplished by increasing transitions from low-income rental to homeownership and enhancing rental properties in areas where market demand permits expansion.

Third, creating, expanding, and retaining employment in the City could be done by expanding and retaining employment at existing businesses and recruiting new businesses to the City.

Fourth, reducing chronic homelessness could be achieved by developing and implementing a 10-year plan to end chronic homelessness and encouraging collaboration and cooperation among Continuum of Care agencies.

Mr. Gaudin concluded by thanking everyone and encouraged any questions.

Vicki Manson referred to the budget spreadsheet and the descriptive narrative handout of each line item on the spreadsheet handout.

Administrative dollars are used to pay staff and to pay for planning. Funds are budgeted out of CDBG, HOME, and ESGP for administration.

Some \$20,000 was set aside to help pay a debt that might be incurred as late payments that are due on the South Main Grocery by Rockford Local Development Corporation (RLDC). She explained that the City took out a Section 108 Loan and developers pay us, but because it may be difficult for them to make the full payment in 2005, this money is set aside for that purpose. If they don't need the funds in 2005, we will reprogram the line item.

Both CDBG and HOME funds are used for rehab services. With homeowner (existing and new), these are funds that are set aside for our Focus Area Rehab Program and it is specifically for homes within the Mid Town North and South Main Trinity area. Focus Area Rehab program also includes the Homestead Program. She explained this is where we buy houses and fix them up and resell them. We have been struggling with the lead based paint regulations, the cost of rehab, environmental requirements, and have not have acquired properties as a result.

We anticipate with the North Main and Auburn improvements that there will be a carryover from another line item to go into that particular program. The West Side Alive! Program is a continuation.

Vicki continued to go over and explain each line item on the spreadsheet. Champion Park is a Winnebago County Housing Authority Home development program. They applied for a HOPE VI Grant and have recently received the grant. They also received a large tax credit allocation from the State of Illinois. They are going to build 180 single-family for sale and rental units in the Champion Park area.

Vicki explained the American Dream Downpayment Assistance Initiative (ADDI). We have received 2003 and 2004 funds. This program provides a loan in the form of a mortgage, forgiven over five years for 6 percent of the purchase price. The program is targeted for Northwest Rockford.

Emerson Subdivision shows \$14,999, which is a carryover of 2004 funds. This completes Phase I of his subdivision at Emerson Estates. Vicki continued to explain the line item Assistance of Community Housing Development Organizations (CHDOs). Get The Lead Out Program is a 10 percent match through a grant that the Human Services Department receives from the State of Illinois. It is a 4-year match program, with \$25,000 allocated each year for four years.

Vicki continued explaining the remainder of the spreadsheet and then introduced James Caruso, the Community Development Director, who explained the Economic Development portion of the spreadsheet.

Questions or comments were sought. There were no questions.

At the conclusion of the meeting, everyone was thanked for their attendance and given a schedule of events that will take place over the next several months. The events to follow include the citizen 30-day comment period, review by the Planning and Development Committee, review and approval by City Council, and finally, submission to HUD by Nov. 15, 2004. It was explained that comments could be submitted in writing to the Community Development Department at 425 East State Street, Rockford, Illinois 61104.

## Appendix D. Housing Forecast by Tenure and Income

**TABLE D.1**  
**RENTER HOUSEHOLDS BY INCOME AND QUADRANT**  
**2000 THROUGH 2025 IN FIVE YEAR INCREMENTS**

Quadrant	Year	0-30% of MFI	30-50% of MFI	50-80% of MFI	80-95% of MFI	95+ % of MFI	Total
<b>Northeast</b>	2000	875	651	1,073	384	1,502	4,485
	2005	912	679	1,120	401	1,568	4,680
	2010	947	705	1,163	416	1,628	4,859
	2015	982	731	1,205	431	1,687	5,035
	2020	1,016	757	1,247	446	1,746	5,213
	2025	1,052	783	1,291	462	1,808	5,397
<b>Northwest</b>	2000	1,347	998	1,096	509	1,141	5,092
	2005	1,388	1,028	1,129	524	1,175	5,244
	2010	1,426	1,056	1,160	539	1,207	5,389
	2015	1,465	1,085	1,192	554	1,240	5,536
	2020	1,506	1,116	1,225	569	1,275	5,691
	2025	1,549	1,148	1,261	585	1,312	5,855
<b>Southeast</b>	2000	2,752	1,832	2,331	905	2,106	9,926
	2005	2,835	1,887	2,401	932	2,169	10,225
	2010	2,912	1,939	2,467	957	2,229	10,504
	2015	2,990	1,991	2,533	983	2,288	10,786
	2020	3,071	2,045	2,602	1,010	2,350	11,078
	2025	3,157	2,102	2,674	1,038	2,416	11,387
<b>Southwest</b>	2000	1,550	716	674	163	408	3,511
	2005	1,578	729	687	166	415	3,575
	2010	1,607	742	699	169	423	3,640
	2015	1,639	757	713	172	431	3,713
	2020	1,675	773	729	176	440	3,793
	2025	1,714	791	746	180	451	3,881
City of Rockford	2000	6,524	4,197	5,175	1,961	5,157	23,014
	2005	6,713	4,323	5,337	2,023	5,327	23,723
	2010	6,893	4,442	5,489	2,082	5,486	24,392
	2015	7,076	4,563	5,643	2,140	5,647	25,070
	2020	7,268	4,690	5,803	2,201	5,812	25,775
	2025	7,473	4,824	5,972	2,266	5,987	26,521

**TABLE D.2  
HOMEOWNER HOUSEHOLDS BY INCOME AND QUADRANT  
2000 THROUGH 2025 IN FIVE YEAR INCREMENTS**

<b>Quadrant</b>	<b>Year</b>	<b>0-30% of MFI</b>	<b>30-50% of MFI</b>	<b>50-80% of MFI</b>	<b>80-95% of MFI</b>	<b>95+ % of MFI</b>	<b>Total</b>
<b>Northeast</b>	2000	314	561	1,399	874	8,218	11,365
	2005	324	580	1,445	902	8,486	11,737
	2010	333	596	1,485	927	8,723	12,063
	2015	341	611	1,523	951	8,948	12,375
	2020	350	626	1,561	975	9,173	12,686
	2025	359	642	1,601	1,000	9,404	13,005
<b>Northwest</b>	2000	586	884	1,694	968	3,998	8,131
	2005	601	906	1,737	993	4,100	8,337
	2010	615	927	1,777	1,016	4,195	8,530
	2015	629	949	1,818	1,039	4,291	8,726
	2020	644	971	1,860	1,064	4,392	8,931
	2025	660	995	1,906	1,090	4,499	9,150
<b>Southeast</b>	2000	910	1,357	2,991	1,366	7,303	13,927
	2005	935	1,395	3,073	1,404	7,504	14,311
	2010	958	1,429	3,150	1,439	7,691	14,667
	2015	981	1,464	3,226	1,474	7,878	15,024
	2020	1,006	1,500	3,306	1,510	8,073	15,395
	2025	1,031	1,539	3,391	1,549	8,279	15,788
<b>Southwest</b>	2000	453	380	717	227	944	2,721
	2005	462	388	732	232	964	2,778
	2010	472	396	748	237	985	2,837
	2015	483	405	764	242	1,007	2,901
	2020	494	415	783	248	1,031	2,971
	2025	507	425	803	255	1,058	3,049
<b>City of Rockford</b>	2000	2,262	3,182	6,801	3,436	20,464	36,144
	2005	2,322	3,268	6,987	3,531	21,055	37,163
	2010	2,378	3,348	7,159	3,619	21,593	38,097
	2015	2,435	3,429	7,332	3,707	22,124	39,026
	2020	2,494	3,512	7,511	3,797	22,669	39,983
	2025	2,557	3,601	7,701	3,893	23,240	40,992

## Appendix C. Housing

**TABLE C.1**  
**PERCENT OF INCOME SPENT ON HOUSING**  
**1990 CENSUS BY QUADRANT, ROCKFORD, ILLINOIS**

QUADRANT	Less than 20 percent	20 to 24 percent	25 to 29 percent	30 to 34 percent	35 percent or more <sup>3</sup>	Not Computed <sup>4</sup>	Total
<b>Specified Renter-Occupied Units<sup>1</sup></b>							
Northeast	1,312	551	455	239	770	112	3,439
Northwest	1,903	818	507	430	1,546	116	5,320
Southeast	3,673	1,275	1,086	783	2,448	284	9,549
Southwest	826	500	308	299	1,507	260	3,700
<b>Rockford</b>	<b>7,714</b>	<b>3,144</b>	<b>2,356</b>	<b>1,751</b>	<b>6,271</b>	<b>772</b>	<b>22,008</b>
<b>Specified Owner-Occupied Units<sup>2</sup></b>							
Northeast	5,534	1,181	668	408	755	32	8,578
Northwest	4,736	1,126	597	392	669	22	7,542
Southeast	6,720	1,585	737	498	959	62	10,561
Southwest	1,621	198	103	116	433	19	2,490
<b>Rockford</b>	<b>18,611</b>	<b>4,090</b>	<b>2,105</b>	<b>1,414</b>	<b>2,816</b>	<b>135</b>	<b>29,171</b>

**TABLE C.2**  
**PERCENT OF INCOME SPENT ON HOUSING**  
**2000 CENSUS BY QUADRANT, ROCKFORD, ILLINOIS**

QUADRANT	Less than 20 percent	20 to 24 percent	25 to 29 percent	30 to 34 percent	35 percent or more	Not Computed	Total
<b>Specified Renter-Occupied Units</b>							
Northeast	1,677	619	481	324	1,100	253	4,454
Northwest	2,013	504	471	306	1,539	254	5,087
Southeast	3,518	1,380	1,059	715	2,520	623	9,815
Southwest	1,069	306	341	208	1,159	443	3,526
<b>Rockford</b>	<b>8,277</b>	<b>2,809</b>	<b>2,352</b>	<b>1,553</b>	<b>6,318</b>	<b>1,573</b>	<b>22,882</b>
<b>Specified Owner-Occupied Units</b>							
Northeast	5,939	1,594	998	590	1,097	23	10,241
Northwest	4,248	875	748	372	1,183	69	7,495
Southeast	6,480	1,982	1,309	736	1,570	101	12,178
Southwest	1,218	172	187	142	424	64	2,207
<b>Rockford</b>	<b>17,885</b>	<b>4,623</b>	<b>3,242</b>	<b>1,840</b>	<b>4,274</b>	<b>257</b>	<b>32,121</b>

**TABLE C.3**  
**HOUSEHOLDS WITH COST BURDEN**  
**2000 CENSUS BY QUADRANT, ROCKFORD, ILLINOIS**

QUADRANT	Less than 30 percent	30 to 49.9 percent	50 percent or more	Not Computed	Total
<b>Specified Renter-Occupied Units</b>					
Northeast	2,777	782	642	253	4,454
Northwest	2,988	879	966	254	5,087
Southeast	5,957	1,774	1,461	623	9,815
Southwest	1,716	617	750	443	3,526
<b>Rockford</b>	<b>13,438</b>	<b>4,052</b>	<b>3,819</b>	<b>1,573</b>	<b>22,882</b>
<b>Specified Owner-Occupied Units</b>					
Northeast	8,531	1,144	543	23	10,241
Northwest	5,871	1,027	528	69	7,495
Southeast	9,771	1,612	694	101	12,178
Southwest	1,577	267	299	64	2,207
<b>Rockford</b>	<b>25,750</b>	<b>4,050</b>	<b>2,064</b>	<b>257</b>	<b>32,121</b>

<sup>1</sup> Specified renter-occupied units include all renter-occupied units except 1-unit attached or detached houses on 10 acres or more.

<sup>2</sup> Specified owner-occupied units are owner-occupied, one-family attached and detached houses on less than 10 acres without a business or medical office on the property.

<sup>3</sup> While the 2000 Census has additional "Percent of Income" categories for this tabulation, this data was aggregated to be consistent with the 1990 Census.

<sup>4</sup> Units occupied by households that reported no income or a net loss, and units for which no cash rent is paid comprise the "Not computed" category.

**TABLE C.4**  
**AGE OF OCCUPIED HOUSING STOCK BY TENURE**  
**1990 AND 2000 CENSUS ROCKFORD, ILLINOIS**

Year Structure Built	Owner		Renter		Total	
	1990	2000	1990	2000	1990	2000
Built 1999 to March 2000	.	200	.	169	.	369
Built 1995 to 1998	.	1485	.	1153	.	2,638
Built 1990 to 1994	.	1726	.	846	.	2,572
Built 1980 to 1989 (1)	2223	2604	2239	2326	4,462	4,930
Built 1970 to 1979	3253	4108	3787	3606	7,040	7,714
Built 1960 to 1969	5725	5803	5218	4071	10,943	9,874
Built 1950 to 1959	8028	8015	2755	3191	10,783	11,206
Built 1940 to 1949	4776	4368	1954	2706	6,730	7,074
Built 1939 or earlier	8693	7995	6188	4829	14,881	12,824
<b>Total Occupied Housing Units (SF3)</b>	<b>32,698</b>	<b>36,304</b>	<b>22,141</b>	<b>22,897</b>	<b>54,839</b>	<b>59,201</b>
Error term	.	-160	.	117	.	-43
Total Occupied Housing Units (SF1)	32,698	36,144	22,141	23,014	54,839	59,158

**TABLE C.5**  
**AGE OF OCCUPIED HOUSING STOCK BY TENURE**  
**1990 AND 2000 CENSUS NORTHEAST QUADRANT, ROCKFORD, ILLINOIS**

Year Structure Built	Owner		Renter		Total	
	1990	2000	1990	2000	1990	2000
Built 1999 to March 2000	.	72	.	69	.	141
Built 1995 to 1998	.	792	.	557	.	1,349
Built 1990 to 1994	.	867	.	367	.	1,234
Built 1980 to 1989 (1)	1707	1813	781	943	2,488	2,756
Built 1970 to 1979	1621	1893	676	753	2,297	2,646
Built 1960 to 1969	1804	1814	889	677	2,693	2,491
Built 1950 to 1959	2035	1959	385	495	2,420	2,454
Built 1940 to 1949	764	667	224	188	988	855
Built 1939 or earlier	1647	1567	484	405	2,131	1,972
<b>Total Occupied Housing Units (SF3)</b>	<b>9,578</b>	<b>11,444</b>	<b>3,439</b>	<b>4,454</b>	<b>13,017</b>	<b>15,898</b>
Error term	4	-79	1	31	5	-48
Total Occupied Housing Units (SF1)	9,582	11,365	3,440	4,485	13,022	15,850

**TABLE C.6**  
**AGE OF OCCUPIED HOUSING STOCK BY TENURE**  
**1990 AND 2000 CENSUS NORTHWEST QUADRANT, ROCKFORD, ILLINOIS**

Year Structure Built	Owner		Renter		Total	
	1990	2000	1990	2000	1990	2000
Built 1999 to March 2000	.	.	.	19	.	19
Built 1995 to 1998	.	62	.	237	.	299
Built 1990 to 1994	.	103	.	104	.	207
Built 1980 to 1989 (1)	91	91	281	273	372	364
Built 1970 to 1979	269	514	914	619	1,183	1,133
Built 1960 to 1969	1205	1197	1324	980	2,529	2,177
Built 1950 to 1959	2313	2327	786	1006	3,099	3,333
Built 1940 to 1949	1658	1202	610	699	2,268	1,901
Built 1939 or earlier	2627	2587	1462	1150	4,089	3,737
<b>Total Occupied Housing Units (SF3)</b>	<b>8,163</b>	<b>8,083</b>	<b>5,377</b>	<b>5,087</b>	<b>13,540</b>	<b>13,170</b>
Error term	7	48	-4	5	3	53
Total Occupied Housing Units (SF1)	8,170	8,131	5,373	5,092	13,543	13,223

(1) 1990 includes structures built from 1980-1990.

**TABLE C.7**  
**AGE OF OCCUPIED HOUSING STOCK BY TENURE**  
**1990 AND 2000 CENSUS SOUTHEAST QUADRANT, ROCKFORD, ILLINOIS**

Year Structure Built	Owner		Renter		Total	
	1990	2000	1990	2000	1990	2000
Built 1999 to March 2000	.	111	.	57	.	168
Built 1995 to 1998	.	575	.	314	.	889
Built 1990 to 1994	.	718	.	321	.	1,039
Built 1980 to 1989 (1)	420	656	1076	907	1,496	1,563
Built 1970 to 1979	1276	1518	1598	1477	2,874	2,995
Built 1960 to 1969	2364	2447	2033	1864	4,397	4,311
Built 1950 to 1959	3136	3084	937	1170	4,073	4,254
Built 1940 to 1949	1755	1984	813	1304	2,568	3,288
Built 1939 or earlier	3121	2978	3124	2409	6,245	5,387
<b>Total Occupied Housing Units (SF3)</b>	<b>12,072</b>	<b>14,071</b>	<b>9,581</b>	<b>9,823</b>	<b>21,653</b>	<b>23,894</b>
Error term	17	-144	21	103	38	-41
Total Occupied Housing Units (SF1)	12,089	13,927	9,602	9,926	21,691	23,853

**TABLE C.8**  
**AGE OF OCCUPIED HOUSING STOCK BY TENURE**  
**1990 AND 2000 CENSUS SOUTHWEST QUADRANT, ROCKFORD, ILLINOIS**

Year Structure Built	Owner		Renter		Total	
	1990	2000	1990	2000	1990	2000
Built 1999 to March 2000	.	17	.	24	.	41
Built 1995 to 1998	.	56	.	45	.	101
Built 1990 to 1994	.	38	.	54	.	92
Built 1980 to 1989	5	44	101	203	106	247
Built 1970 to 1979	87	183	599	757	686	940
Built 1960 to 1969	352	345	972	550	1,324	895
Built 1950 to 1959	544	645	647	520	1,191	1,165
Built 1940 to 1949	599	515	307	515	906	1,030
Built 1939 or earlier	1298	863	1118	865	2,416	1,728
<b>Total Occupied Housing Units (SF3)</b>	<b>2,885</b>	<b>2,706</b>	<b>3,744</b>	<b>3,533</b>	<b>6,629</b>	<b>6,239</b>
Error term	-28	15	-18	-22	-46	-7
Total Occupied Housing Units (SF1)	2,857	2,721	3,726	3,511	6,583	6,232

**TABLE C.9**  
**OCCUPIED HOUSING STOCK BY TYPE AND TENURE**  
**1990 AND 2000 CENSUS ROCKFORD, ILLINOIS**

Dwelling Type	Owner		Renter		Total	
	1990	2000	1990	2000	1990	2000
1 unit detached	29,606	32,217	3,650	4,629	33,256	36,846
1 unit attached	547	1,185	570	644	1,117	1,829
2 units	1,723	1,865	5,298	4,583	7,021	6,448
3-4 units	224	260	3,904	4,015	4,128	4,275
5-9 units	148	165	2,642	2,854	2,790	3,019
10-19 units	81	82	2,435	2,061	2,516	2,143
20-49 units	.	59	1,192	1,336	1,192	1,395
50 or more units	121	171	2,173	2,688	2,294	2,859
Mobile Home/Trailer	157	300	61	87	218	387
Boat, RV, Van, etc.	91	.	216	.	307	.
<b>Total Occupied Housing Units (SF3)</b>	<b>32,698</b>	<b>36,304</b>	<b>22,141</b>	<b>22,897</b>	<b>54,839</b>	<b>59,201</b>
Error term	.	-160	.	117	.	-43
Total Occupied Housing Units (SF1)	32,698	36,144	22,141	23,014	54,839	59,158

**TABLE C.10**  
**OCCUPIED HOUSING STOCK BY TYPE AND TENURE**  
**1990 AND 2000 CENSUS NORTHEAST QUADRANT, ROCKFORD, ILLINOIS**

Dwelling Type	Owner		Renter		Total	
	1990	2000	1990	2000	1990	2000
1 unit detached	8,707	10,144	415	597	9,122	10,741
1 unit attached	246	517	105	195	351	712
2 units	311	320	593	612	904	932
3-4 units	61	120	722	995	783	1,115
5-9 units	66	87	666	825	732	912
10-19 units	49	45	449	474	498	519
20-49 units	.	33	160	162	160	195
50 or more units	113	165	279	594	392	759
Mobile Home/Trailer	7	13	.	.	7	13
Boat, RV, Van, etc.	18	.	50	.	68	.
<b>Total Occupied Housing Units (SF3)</b>	<b>9,578</b>	<b>11,444</b>	<b>3,439</b>	<b>4,454</b>	<b>13,017</b>	<b>15,898</b>
Error term	4	-79	1	31	5	-48
Total Occupied Housing Units (SF1)	9,582	11,365	3,440	4,485	13,022	15,850

**TABLE C.11**  
**OCCUPIED HOUSING STOCK BY TYPE AND TENURE**  
**1990 AND 2000 CENSUS NORTHWEST QUADRANT, ROCKFORD, ILLINOIS**

Dwelling Type	Owner		Renter		Total	
	1990	2000	1990	2000	1990	2000
1 unit detached	7,708	7,673	1,090	1,221	8,798	8,894
1 unit attached	72	91	103	103	175	194
2 units	276	243	1,013	890	1,289	1,133
3-4 units	44	26	982	911	1,026	937
5-9 units	8	.	394	468	402	468
10-19 units	7	7	816	657	823	664
20-49 units	.	6	444	469	444	475
50 or more units	5	6	467	346	472	352
Mobile Home/Trailer	16	31	.	22	16	53
Boat, RV, Van, etc.	27	.	68	.	95	.
<b>Total Occupied Housing Units (SF3)</b>	<b>8,163</b>	<b>8,083</b>	<b>5,377</b>	<b>5,087</b>	<b>13,540</b>	<b>13,170</b>
Error term	7	48	-4	5	3	53
Total Occupied Housing Units (SF1)	8,170	8,131	5,373	5,092	13,543	13,223

**TABLE C.12**  
**OCCUPIED HOUSING STOCK BY TYPE AND TENURE**  
**1990 AND 2000 CENSUS SOUTHEAST QUADRANT, ROCKFORD, ILLINOIS**

Dwelling Type	Owner		Renter		Total	
	1990	2000	1990	2000	1990	2000
1 unit detached	10,692	12,128	1,115	1,670	11,807	13,798
1 unit attached	176	508	206	249	382	757
2 units	878	1,059	2,883	2,384	3,761	3,443
3-4 units	89	71	1,820	1,730	1,909	1,801
5-9 units	57	68	1,163	1,281	1,220	1,349
10-19 units	25	30	993	847	1,018	877
20-49 units	.	8	482	559	482	567
50 or more units	3	0	817	1,046	820	1,046
Mobile Home/Trailer	116	199	23	57	139	256
Boat, RV, Van, etc.	36	.	79	.	115	.
<b>Total Occupied Housing Units (SF3)</b>	<b>12,072</b>	<b>14,071</b>	<b>9,581</b>	<b>9,823</b>	<b>21,653</b>	<b>23,894</b>
Error term	17	-144	21	103	38	-41
Total Occupied Housing Units (SF1)	12,089	13,927	9,602	9,926	21,691	23,853

**TABLE C.13**  
**OCCUPIED HOUSING STOCK BY TYPE AND TENURE**  
**1990 AND 2000 CENSUS SOUTHWEST QUADRANT, ROCKFORD, ILLINOIS**

Dwelling Type	Owner		Renter		Total	
	1990	2000	1990	2000	1990	2000
1 unit detached	2,499	2,272	1,030	1,141	3,529	3,413
1 unit attached	53	69	156	97	209	166
2 units	258	243	809	697	1,067	940
3-4 units	30	43	380	379	410	422
5-9 units	17	10	419	280	436	290
10-19 units	.	.	177	83	177	83
20-49 units	.	12	106	146	106	158
50 or more units	.	.	610	702	610	702
Mobile Home/Trailer	18	57	38	8	56	65
Boat, RV, Van, etc.	10	.	19	.	29	.
<b>Total Occupied Housing Units (SF3)</b>	<b>2,885</b>	<b>2,706</b>	<b>3,744</b>	<b>3,533</b>	<b>6,629</b>	<b>6,239</b>
Error term	-28	15	-18	-22	-46	-7
<b>Total Occupied Housing Units (SF1)</b>	<b>2,857</b>	<b>2,721</b>	<b>3,726</b>	<b>3,511</b>	<b>6,583</b>	<b>6,232</b>

**TABLE C.14**  
**PLUMBING FACILITIES**  
**1990 AND 2000 CENSUS BY QUADRANT, ROCKFORD, ILLINOIS**

Quadrant	1990			2000		
	Complete Plumbing Facilities	Lacking Plumbing Facilities	Total	Complete Plumbing Facilities	Lacking Plumbing Facilities	Total
Northeast	13,397	19	13,416	16,488	15	16,503
Northwest	14,268	8	14,276	14,094	82	14,176
Southeast	22,876	79	22,955	25,618	212	25,830
Southwest	7,420	79	7,499	6,998	100	7,098
<b>Total Housing Units SF(3)</b>	<b>57,961</b>	<b>185</b>	<b>58,146</b>	<b>63,198</b>	<b>409</b>	<b>63,607</b>
Error Term	.	.	0	.	.	-37
<b>Total Housing Units SF(1)</b>	.	.	<b>58,146</b>	.	.	<b>63,570</b>

**TABLE C.15**  
**KITCHEN FACILITIES**  
**1990 AND 2000 CENSUS BY QUADRANT, ROCKFORD, ILLINOIS**

Quadrant	1990			2000		
	Complete Kitchen Facilities	Lacking Kitchen Facilities	Total	Complete Kitchen Facilities	Lacking Kitchen Facilities	Total
Northeast	13,395	21	13,416	16,326	177	16,503
Northwest	14,195	81	14,276	14,051	125	14,176
Southeast	22,813	142	22,955	25,633	197	25,830
Southwest	7,396	103	7,499	7,024	74	7,098
<b>Total Housing Units SF(3)</b>	<b>57,799</b>	<b>347</b>	<b>58,146</b>	<b>63,034</b>	<b>573</b>	<b>63,607</b>
Error Term	.	.	0	.	.	-37
<b>Total Housing Units SF(1)</b>	.	.	<b>58,146</b>	.	.	<b>63,570</b>

**TABLE C.16**  
**OVERCROWDING BY TENURE**  
**1990 AND 2000 CENSUS ROCKFORD, ILLINOIS**

Persons Per Room	Owner		Renter		Total	
	1990	2000	1990	2000	1990	2000
Less than 1 person per room	32,242	35,455	21,213	21,560	53,455	57,015
1.01—1.5 persons per room	350	551	598	757	948	1,308
1.51+ persons per room	106	298	330	580	436	878
<b>Total Occupied Housing Units (SF3)</b>	<b>32,698</b>	<b>36,304</b>	<b>22,141</b>	<b>22,897</b>	<b>54,839</b>	<b>59,201</b>
Error term	0	-160	0	117	0	-43
<b>Total Occupied Housing Units (SF1)</b>	<b>32,698</b>	<b>36,144</b>	<b>22,141</b>	<b>23,014</b>	<b>54,839</b>	<b>59,158</b>

**TABLE C.17**  
**OVERCROWDING BY TENURE**  
**1990 AND 2000 CENSUS NORTHEAST QUADRANT, ROCKFORD, ILLINOIS**

Persons Per Room	Owner		Renter		Total	
	1990	2000	1990	2000	1990	2000
Less than 1 person per room	9,530	11,312	3,384	4,325	12,914	15,637
1.01—1.5 persons per room	37	69	23	61	60	130
1.51+ persons per room	11	63	32	68	43	131
<b>Total Occupied Housing Units (SF3)</b>	<b>9,578</b>	<b>11,444</b>	<b>3,439</b>	<b>4,454</b>	<b>13,017</b>	<b>15,898</b>
Error term	4	-79	1	31	5	-48
Total Occupied Housing Units (SF1)	9,582	11,365	3,440	4,485	13,022	15,850

**TABLE C.18**  
**OVERCROWDING BY TENURE**  
**1990 AND 2000 CENSUS NORTHWEST QUADRANT, ROCKFORD, ILLINOIS**

Persons Per Room	Owner		Renter		Total	
	1990	2000	1990	2000	1990	2000
Less than 1 person per room	8,091	7,874	5,115	4,856	13,206	12,730
1.01—1.5 persons per room	53	150	166	87	219	237
1.51+ persons per room	19	59	96	144	115	203
<b>Total Occupied Housing Units (SF3)</b>	<b>8,163</b>	<b>8,083</b>	<b>5,377</b>	<b>5,087</b>	<b>13,540</b>	<b>13,170</b>
Error term	7	48	-4	5	3	53
Total Occupied Housing Units (SF1)	8,170	8,131	5,373	5,092	13,543	13,223

**TABLE C.19**  
**OVERCROWDING BY TENURE**  
**1990 AND 2000 CENSUS SOUTHEAST QUADRANT, ROCKFORD, ILLINOIS**

Persons Per Room	Owner		Renter		Total	
	1990	2000	1990	2000	1990	2000
Less than 1 person per room	11,888	13,786	9,337	9,207	21,225	22,993
1.01—1.5 persons per room	148	181	189	400	337	581
1.51+ persons per room	36	104	55	216	91	320
<b>Total Occupied Housing Units (SF3)</b>	<b>12,072</b>	<b>14,071</b>	<b>9,581</b>	<b>9,823</b>	<b>21,653</b>	<b>23,894</b>
Error term	17	-144	21	103	38	-41
Total Occupied Housing Units (SF1)	12,089	13,927	9,602	9,926	21,691	23,853

**TABLE C.20**  
**OVERCROWDING BY TENURE**  
**1990 AND 2000 CENSUS SOUTHWEST QUADRANT, ROCKFORD, ILLINOIS**

Persons Per Room	Owner		Renter		Total	
	1990	2000	1990	2000	1990	2000
Less than 1 person per room	2,733	2,483	3,377	3,172	6,110	5,655
1.01—1.5 persons per room	112	151	220	209	332	360
1.51+ persons per room	40	72	147	152	187	224
<b>Total Occupied Housing Units (SF3)</b>	<b>2,885</b>	<b>2,706</b>	<b>3,744</b>	<b>3,533</b>	<b>6,629</b>	<b>6,239</b>
Error term	-28	15	-18	-22	-46	-7
Total Occupied Housing Units (SF1)	2,857	2,721	3,726	3,511	6,583	6,232

**TABLE C.21**  
**MEDIAN GROSS RENT/MEDIAN HOME VALUES**  
**2000 BY CENSUS TRACT, ROCKFORD, ILLINOIS**

Quadrant	Tract	Specified renter-occupied housing units paying cash rent: Median gross rent	Specified owner-occupied housing units: Median value
Northeast	00300	.	.
	00401	821	86,500
	00402	952	99,900
	00403	584	112,600
	00504	636	127,700
	00506	675	132,700
	00507	543	103,600
	00510	817	149,900
	00511	795	108,500
	00512	847	139,400
	00600	624	91,300
	00700	577	88,600
	00800	463	62,400
Northwest	02301	549	57,300
	03000	533	93,600
	03100	442	58,800
	03200	499	45,100
	03300	508	54,800
	03400	588	64,600
	03500	503	86,900
	03601	675	74,600
	03602	490	83,700
	03604	461	75,600
	03605	530	82,500
	03606	486	76,100
	03801	125	225,000
04200	.	.	
Southeast	00501	565	91,600
	00502	570	92,200
	00513	1,054	129,500
	00514	.	.
	01000	297	49,500
	01100	309	37,500
	01200	443	51,300
	01300	484	57,600
	01400	514	67,600
	01500	494	78,700
	01600	538	77,100
	01700	524	77,800
	01800	459	54,100
	01900	469	56,700
	02000	502	51,300
	02100	387	48,600
	03701	480	51,700
	03706	375	95,100
	03707	535	.
03708	671	83,800	
03709	554	76,300	
03710	950	96,600	
Southwest	02200	539	51,300
	02302	.	45,000
	02400	463	34,600
	02500	532	42,500
	02600	435	42,100
	02700	435	50,700
	02800	410	42,800
	02900	252	129,200
<b>Rockford</b>		<b>498</b>	<b>79,900</b>

**TABLE C.22**  
**CITY OF ROCKFORD PERMITTED NEW CONSTRUCTION**  
**1981 THROUGH SEPTEMBER 2003\***

Year	Single-family units	Real Value	Tri and Four			Demolitions	Total Units
		of SF Const. Per unit 2002 \$	Duplex Units	Plex Units	Multi-family Units		
1981	100	82,141	20	24	58	69	202
1982	61	75,640	14	8	0	54	83
1983	74	70,700	34	36	72	44	216
1984	71	85,439	32	52	41	74	196
1985	133	96,350	40	36	47	60	256
1986	197	92,147	54	40	0	58	291
1987	214	102,328	168	96	36	74	514
1988	259	103,974	240	194	0	86	693
1989	252	103,230	208	118	0	108	578
1990	235	110,800	92	19	0	123	346
1991	277	113,278	86	12	8	84	383
1992	251	97,606	132	16	110	87	509
1993	251	88,102	94	36	8	89	389
1994	304	82,501	70	3	45	96	422
1995	289	71,305	102	0	445	88	836
1996	268	67,881	88	24	160	78	540
1997	222	64,767	28	20	168	74	438
1998	160	69,765	40	16	50	104	266
1999	205	75,504	40	0	75	102	320
2000	212	79,429	38	0	45	146	295
2001	225	80,592	30	108	110	169	473
2002	280	67,483	88	136	12	131	516
2003	178	63,955	90	39	0	50	307

\* The 2003 values are expressed in nominal terms.

**TABLE C.23**  
**AVERAGE YEAR BUILT OF HOUSING STOCK IN ROCKFORD**  
**ROCKFORD TOWNSHIP ASSESSOR: AVERAGE YEAR BUILT**

Dwelling Type	Average Year Built by Quadrant				Average Year Built
	Northwest	Northeast	Southeast	Southwest	
Single-family rural	1971	1944	1921	1934	1944
Single-family	1938	1964	1949	1933	1949
Duplex	1928	1961	1923	1914	1926
Tri-plex	1921	1943	1914	1909	1916
Four-plex	1946	1971	1930	1922	1944
Five-plex	1939	1972	1914	1905	1936
Six-plex	1960	1972	1929	1903	1953
Condo unit	1991	1987	1989	.	1988

**TABLE C.24**  
**AVERAGE SIZE OF HOUSING STOCK IN ROCKFORD**  
**ROCKFORD TOWNSHIP ASSESSOR: SQUARE FEET ABOVE GROUND**

Dwelling Type	Quadrant				Average
	Northwest	Northeast	Southeast	Southwest	
Single-family rural	2,043	2,063	1,576	856	1,790
Single-family	1,328	1,794	1,254	1,210	1,438
Duplex	997	1,184	1,011	928	1,011
Tri-plex	772	793	705	634	708
Four-plex	833	846	761	736	798
Five-plex	801	1,129	586	562	803
Six-plex	701	946	532	676	778
Condo unit	1,202	1,367	1,399	.	1,373

**TABLE C.25**  
**QUALITY OF MATERIALS AND WORKMANSHIP**  
**ROCKFORD TOWNSHIP ASSESSOR: NUMBER OF DWELLINGS**

Dwelling Type	Total by Quadrant				Total
	Northwest	Northeast	Southeast	Southwest	
Prestige	0	266	0	0	266
Excellent	21	616	0	0	637
Good	204	2,352	561	0	3,117
Average	10,832	9,632	14,463	2,867	37,794
Cheap	221	15	565	292	1,093
Low grade and inferior	0	0	1	0	1
<b>Total</b>	<b>11,278</b>	<b>12,881</b>	<b>15,590</b>	<b>3,159</b>	<b>42,908</b>

**TABLE C.26**  
**CONDITION, DESIRABILITY, AND USEFULNESS OF DWELLINGS**  
**ROCKFORD TOWNSHIP ASSESSOR: NUMBER OF DWELLINGS**

Dwelling Type	Total by Quadrant				Total
	Northwest	Northeast	Southeast	Southwest	
Excellent	109	496	0	0	605
Very Good	88	1,292	7	0	1,387
Good	1,772	5,069	2,404	0	9,245
Average	3,680	5,411	7,064	40	16,195
Fair	2,717	364	2,818	615	6,514
Poor	1,323	188	2,088	944	4,543
Poor minus	879	31	667	864	2,441
Very poor	655	27	464	623	1,769
Very poor minus	22	2	31	34	89
Unsound	33	1	47	39	120
<b>Total</b>	<b>11,278</b>	<b>12,881</b>	<b>15,590</b>	<b>3,159</b>	<b>42,908</b>

**TABLE C.27**  
**QUALITY OF MATERIALS AND WORKMANSHIP**  
**ROCKFORD TOWNSHIP ASSESSOR: SINGLE-FAMILY DWELLINGS**

Dwelling Type	Total by Quadrant				Total
	Northwest	Northeast	Southeast	Southwest	
Prestige	0	266	0	0	266
Excellent	21	616	0	0	637
Good	203	2,314	541	0	3,058
Average	9,646	7,957	11,326	2,360	31,289
Cheap	194	15	503	192	904
Low grade and inferior	0	0	1	0	1
<b>Total</b>	<b>10,064</b>	<b>11,168</b>	<b>12,371</b>	<b>2,552</b>	<b>36,155</b>

**TABLE C.28**  
**CONDITION, DESIRABILITY, AND USEFULNESS OF DWELLINGS**  
**ROCKFORD TOWNSHIP ASSESSOR: SINGLE-FAMILY DWELLINGS**

Dwelling Type	Total by Quadrant				Total
	Northwest	Northeast	Southeast	Southwest	
Excellent	108	495	0	0	603
Very Good	87	1,290	0	0	1,377
Good	1,690	4,870	2,274	0	8,834
Average	3,464	3,988	5,968	36	13,456
Fair	2,354	279	2,307	583	5,523
Poor	1,109	186	1,303	829	3,427
Poor minus	738	30	320	655	1,743
Very poor	483	27	171	409	1,090
Very poor minus	12	2	8	20	42
Unsound	19	1	20	20	60
<b>Total</b>	<b>10,064</b>	<b>11,168</b>	<b>12,371</b>	<b>2,552</b>	<b>36,155</b>

**TABLE C.29  
REAL SINGLE-FAMILY SALES PRICES IN ROCKFORD  
ROCKFORD TOWNSHIP ASSESSOR: 2002 REAL DOLLARS**

Year Sold	Quadrant				Average
	Northwest	Northeast	Southeast	Southwest	
1985	63,320	119,482	68,189	31,109	83,051
1986	64,548	120,061	67,690	33,574	87,751
1987	60,878	135,376	69,782	34,501	92,597
1988	65,424	133,595	69,022	36,735	89,988
1989	66,391	129,463	77,597	39,007	92,437
1990	65,282	138,038	79,076	34,982	98,718
1991	67,342	139,944	81,242	33,986	101,089
1992	65,887	140,967	77,739	36,041	97,427
1993	67,579	133,117	80,583	36,718	94,608
1994	69,560	133,538	79,212	35,297	93,270
1995	72,142	125,187	78,482	39,457	90,755
1996	67,893	125,348	76,854	37,744	89,704
1997	68,308	118,990	74,714	41,643	86,802
1998	67,232	114,532	75,159	42,495	86,001
1999	66,919	118,269	76,850	42,232	87,711
2000	69,829	124,585	78,042	45,213	91,657
2001	72,168	125,838	76,560	46,881	93,964
2002	74,175	133,941	79,664	53,543	97,267

**TABLE C.30  
NUMBER OF SINGLE-FAMILY SALES TRANSACTIONS  
ROCKFORD TOWNSHIP ASSESSOR: 2002 REAL DOLLARS**

Year Sold	Quadrant				Total
	Northwest	Northeast	Southeast	Southwest	
1985	100	119	117	12	348
1986	130	213	163	11	517
1987	115	187	150	16	468
1988	142	180	175	13	510
1989	160	215	162	26	563
1990	125	237	200	19	581
1991	128	240	215	11	594
1992	186	260	224	17	687
1993	223	309	299	29	860
1994	274	345	357	41	1,017
1995	263	322	329	42	956
1996	282	355	312	48	997
1997	269	366	380	49	1,064
1998	337	464	445	48	1,294
1999	399	515	480	49	1,443
2000	361	527	498	58	1,444
2001	394	622	524	45	1,585
2002	450	670	587	88	1,795
<b>Total</b>	<b>4,338</b>	<b>6,146</b>	<b>5,617</b>	<b>622</b>	<b>16,723</b>

**TABLE C.31**  
**AVERAGE MARKET VALUE OF DWELLINGS**  
**ROCKFORD TOWNSHIP ASSESSOR: JANUARY 2003**

<b>Dwelling Type</b>	<b>Northwest</b>	<b>Northeast</b>	<b>Southeast</b>	<b>Southwest</b>
Single-family rural	128,932	143,797	91,820	46,460
Single-family	67,012	125,128	73,644	38,599
Duplex	57,062	136,838	64,246	40,902
Tri-plex	62,818	117,604	51,385	37,018
Four-plex	108,263	162,435	76,688	54,822
Five-plex	98,809	228,675	59,274	41,503
Six-plex	139,455	250,376	77,821	40,052
Condo unit	77,218	104,912	108,999	

**TABLE C.32**  
**CONDITION OF MULTIPLE UNIT DWELLINGS IN ROCKFORD**  
**ROCKFORD TOWNSHIP ASSESSOR**

<b>Condition</b>	<b>Northwest</b>	<b>Northeast</b>	<b>Southeast</b>	<b>Southwest</b>	<b>Total</b>
Excellent	1	1	0	0	2
Very Good	1	2	7	0	10
Good	82	199	130	0	411
Average	216	1,423	1,096	4	2,739
Fair	363	85	511	32	991
Poor	214	2	785	115	1,116
Poor minus	141	1	347	209	698
Very poor	172	0	293	214	679
Very poor minus	10	0	23	14	47
Unsound	14	0	27	19	60
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,214</b>	<b>1,713</b>	<b>3,219</b>	<b>607</b>	<b>6,753</b>

**TABLE C.33**  
**CONDITION OF SINGLE-FAMILY DWELLINGS IN ROCKFORD**  
**ROCKFORD TOWNSHIP ASSESSOR**

<b>Condition</b>	<b>Northwest</b>	<b>Northeast</b>	<b>Southeast</b>	<b>Southwest</b>	<b>Total</b>
Excellent	108	495	0	0	603
Very Good	87	1,290	0	0	1,377
Good	1,690	4,870	2,274	0	8,834
Average	3,464	3,988	5,968	36	13,456
Fair	2,354	279	2,307	583	5,523
Poor	1,109	186	1,303	829	3,427
Poor minus	738	30	320	655	1,743
Very poor	483	27	171	409	1,090
Very poor minus	12	2	8	20	42
Unsound	19	1	20	20	60
<b>Total</b>	<b>10,064</b>	<b>11,168</b>	<b>12,371</b>	<b>2,552</b>	<b>36,155</b>

**TABLE C.34**  
**HOUSEHOLDS WITH A HOUSING PROBLEM BY INCOME, RACE AND TENURE**  
**NORTHEAST QUADRANT, 2000 HUD SPECIAL TABULATIONS**

INCOME RANGE	White	Black	American	Asian	Pacific	Some	Two or	Hispanic/	Total
			Indian/Alaskan						
			Native			Race	Races	any Race	
<b>Homeowners</b>									
0-30% MFI	253	4	.	.	.	.	.	20	277
31-50% MFI	345	18	.	4	.	.	.	8	375
51-80% MFI	420	34	.	4	.	.	.	19	477
81-95% MFI	180	14	4	10	.	.	.	10	218
96% + MFI	529	8	.	55	.	.	.	36	628
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,727</b>	<b>78</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>73</b>	.	.	.	<b>93</b>	<b>1,975</b>
<b>Renters</b>									
0-30% MFI	434	54	.	10	.	.	10	24	532
31-50% MFI	404	20	.	10	.	.	.	30	464
51-80% MFI	330	4	.	.	.	.	10	10	354
81-95% MFI	34	.	.	.	.	.	.	10	44
96% + MFI	34	10	.	4	.	.	.	25	73
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,236</b>	<b>88</b>	.	<b>24</b>	.	.	<b>20</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>1,467</b>
<b>Total</b>									
0-30% MFI	687	58	.	10	.	.	10	44	809
31-50% MFI	749	38	.	14	.	.	.	38	839
51-80% MFI	750	38	.	4	.	.	10	29	831
81-95% MFI	214	14	4	10	.	.	.	20	262
96% + MFI	563	18	.	59	.	.	.	61	701
<b>Total</b>	<b>2,963</b>	<b>166</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>97</b>	.	.	<b>20</b>	<b>192</b>	<b>3,442</b>

**TABLE C.35**  
**HOUSEHOLDS WITH A HOUSING PROBLEM BY INCOME, RACE AND TENURE**  
**NORTHWEST QUADRANT, 2000 HUD SPECIAL TABULATIONS**

INCOME RANGE	White	Black	American	Asian	Pacific	Some	Two or	Hispanic/	Total
			Indian/Alaskan						
			Native			Race	Races	any Race	
<b>Homeowners</b>									
0-30% MFI	313	97	.	4	.	4	10	22	450
31-50% MFI	298	79	.	.	.	.	.	58	435
51-80% MFI	380	51	.	.	.	.	.	65	496
81-95% MFI	119	4	4	.	.	.	.	14	141
96% + MFI	142	37	.	.	.	.	10	8	197
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,252</b>	<b>268</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	.	<b>4</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>167</b>	<b>1,719</b>
<b>Renters</b>									
0-30% MFI	579	380	4	.	.	8	30	55	1,056
31-50% MFI	329	205	.	10	.	.	.	10	554
51-80% MFI	89	68	.	.	.	.	.	20	177
81-95% MFI	39	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	39
96% + MFI	35	30	.	.	.	.	.	4	69
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,071</b>	<b>683</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>10</b>	.	<b>8</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>89</b>	<b>1,895</b>
<b>Total</b>									
0-30% MFI	892	477	4	4	.	12	40	77	1,506
31-50% MFI	627	284	.	10	.	.	.	68	989
51-80% MFI	469	119	.	.	.	.	.	85	673
81-95% MFI	158	4	4	.	.	.	.	14	180
96% + MFI	177	67	.	.	.	.	10	12	266
<b>Total</b>	<b>2,323</b>	<b>951</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>14</b>	.	<b>12</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>256</b>	<b>3,614</b>

**TABLE C.36**  
**HOUSEHOLDS WITH A HOUSING PROBLEM BY INCOME, RACE AND TENURE**  
**SOUTHEAST QUADRANT, 2000 HUD SPECIAL TABULATIONS**

INCOME RANGE	White	Black	American	Asian	Pacific	Some	Two or Hispanic/		Total
			Indian/Alaskan				Islander	other	
			Native			Race	Races	any Race	
<b>Homeowners</b>									
0-30% MFI	563	44	4	4	.	.	14	57	686
31-50% MFI	534	19	.	.	.	.	10	67	630
51-80% MFI	814	67	10	29	.	.	20	47	987
81-95% MFI	191	.	.	14	.	.	.	12	217
96% + MFI	289	10	.	18	.	.	25	85	427
<b>Total</b>	<b>2,391</b>	<b>140</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>65</b>	.	.	<b>69</b>	<b>268</b>	<b>2,947</b>
<b>Renters</b>									
0-30% MFI	1,208	329	10	35	.	.	33	189	1,804
31-50% MFI	678	196	8	20	.	.	20	133	1,055
51-80% MFI	334	14	.	8	.	.	4	47	407
81-95% MFI	51	18	.	10	.	.	4	.	83
96% + MFI	61	18	.	24	15	.	.	73	191
<b>Total</b>	<b>2,332</b>	<b>575</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>97</b>	<b>15</b>	.	<b>61</b>	<b>442</b>	<b>3,540</b>
<b>Total</b>									
0-30% MFI	1,771	373	14	39	.	.	47	246	2,490
31-50% MFI	1,212	215	8	20	.	.	30	200	1,685
51-80% MFI	1,148	81	10	37	.	.	24	94	1,394
81-95% MFI	242	18	.	24	.	.	4	12	300
96% + MFI	350	28	.	42	15	.	25	158	618
<b>Total</b>	<b>4,723</b>	<b>715</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>162</b>	<b>15</b>	.	<b>130</b>	<b>710</b>	<b>6,487</b>

**TABLE C.37**  
**HOUSEHOLDS WITH A HOUSING PROBLEM BY INCOME, RACE AND TENURE**  
**SOUTHWEST QUADRANT, 2000 HUD SPECIAL TABULATIONS**

INCOME RANGE	White	Black	American	Asian	Pacific	Some	Two or Hispanic/		Total
			Indian/Alaskan				Islander	other	
			Native			Race	Races	any Race	
<b>Homeowners</b>									
0-30% MFI	74	210	.	4	.	.	.	53	341
31-50% MFI	49	115	.	.	.	.	.	59	223
51-80% MFI	37	74	.	.	.	.	.	23	134
81-95% MFI	10	10	.	.	.	.	.	4	24
96% + MFI	.	59	.	.	.	.	.	64	123
<b>Total</b>	<b>170</b>	<b>468</b>	.	<b>4</b>	.	.	.	<b>203</b>	<b>845</b>
<b>Renters</b>									
0-30% MFI	314	555	.	4	.	.	4	149	1,026
31-50% MFI	44	244	.	4	.	.	.	33	325
51-80% MFI	19	85	.	.	.	.	.	29	133
81-95% MFI	10	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	10
96% + MFI	10	25	.	.	.	.	.	28	63
<b>Total</b>	<b>397</b>	<b>909</b>	.	<b>8</b>	.	.	<b>4</b>	<b>239</b>	<b>1,557</b>
<b>Total</b>									
0-30% MFI	388	765	.	8	.	.	4	202	1,367
31-50% MFI	93	359	.	4	.	.	.	92	548
51-80% MFI	56	159	.	.	.	.	.	52	267
81-95% MFI	20	10	.	.	.	.	.	4	34
96% + MFI	10	84	.	.	.	.	.	92	186
<b>Total</b>	<b>567</b>	<b>1,377</b>	.	<b>12</b>	.	.	<b>4</b>	<b>442</b>	<b>2,402</b>

**TABLE C.38**  
**UNITS AT RISK OF LEAD-BASED PAINT HAZARDS BY INCOME**  
 ROCKFORD CITY, 2000 CENSUS

Year Structure Built	<30 of MFI		30-50 of MFI		50-80 of MFI		80-95 of MFI		95+ of MFI		Total	
	Units at Risk	% of 2000 Housing Stock	Units at Risk	% of 2000 Housing Stock	Units at Risk	% of 2000 Housing Stock	Units at Risk	% of 2000 Housing Stock	Units at Risk	% of 2000 Housing Stock	Units at Risk	% of 2000 Housing Stock
<b>Owners</b>												
1939 or earlier	614	1.69	881	2.43	1,521	4.19	747	2.06	3,432	9.45	7,196	19.82
1940 to 1949	304	0.84	328	0.90	835	2.30	382	1.05	1,645	4.53	3,494	9.63
1950 to 1959	392	1.08	663	1.83	1,354	3.73	655	1.80	3,348	9.22	6,412	17.66
1960 to 1979	284	0.78	405	1.12	1,034	2.85	523	1.44	3,898	10.74	6,145	16.93
<b>Total at risk</b>	<b>1,594</b>	<b>4.39</b>	<b>2,278</b>	<b>6.28</b>	<b>4,743</b>	<b>13.06</b>	<b>2,306</b>	<b>6.35</b>	<b>12,326</b>	<b>33.95</b>	<b>23,247</b>	<b>64.03</b>
Total Units	36,304											
<b>Renters</b>												
1939 or earlier	1,292	5.64	890	3.88	1,043	4.55	339	1.48	783	3.42	4,346	18.98
1940 to 1949	666	2.91	367	1.60	521	2.27	226	0.99	385	1.68	2,165	9.45
1950 to 1959	561	2.45	596	2.60	583	2.55	246	1.07	567	2.48	2,553	11.15
1960 to 1979	1,437	6.28	762	3.33	1,024	4.47	388	1.69	1,149	5.02	4,760	20.79
<b>Total at risk</b>	<b>3,958</b>	<b>17.29</b>	<b>2,614</b>	<b>11.42</b>	<b>3,171</b>	<b>13.85</b>	<b>1,198</b>	<b>5.23</b>	<b>2,883</b>	<b>12.59</b>	<b>13,823</b>	<b>60.37</b>
Total Units	22,897											
<b>Total</b>												
1939 or earlier	1,906	3.22	1,770	2.99	2,564	4.33	1,086	1.83	4,215	7.12	11,542	19.50
1940 to 1949	970	1.64	695	1.17	1,355	2.29	608	1.03	2,030	3.43	5,659	9.56
1950 to 1959	953	1.61	1,259	2.13	1,937	3.27	900	1.52	3,916	6.61	8,965	15.14
1960 to 1979	1,721	2.91	1,167	1.97	2,058	3.48	911	1.54	5,047	8.53	10,905	18.42
<b>Total at risk</b>	<b>5,551</b>	<b>9.38</b>	<b>4,893</b>	<b>8.26</b>	<b>7,913</b>	<b>13.37</b>	<b>3,504</b>	<b>5.92</b>	<b>15,209</b>	<b>25.69</b>	<b>37,070</b>	<b>62.62</b>
Total Units	59,201											

**TABLE C.39**  
**UNITS AT RISK OF LEAD-BASED PAINT HAZARDS BY INCOME**  
**NORTHEAST QUADRANT, 2000 CENSUS**

Year Structure Built	<30 of MFI		30-50 of MFI		50-80 of MFI		80-95 of MFI		95+ of MFI		Total	
	Units at Risk	% of 2000 Housing Stock	Units at Risk	% of 2000 Housing Stock	Units at Risk	% of 2000 Housing Stock	Units at Risk	% of 2000 Housing Stock	Units at Risk	% of 2000 Housing Stock	Units at Risk	% of 2000 Housing Stock
<b>Owners</b>												
1939 or earlier	67	0.59	109	0.95	240	2.10	136	1.19	858	7.50	1,410	12.32
1940 to 1949	15	0.13	33	0.28	83	0.72	59	0.52	344	3.01	534	4.66
1950 to 1959	59	0.51	102	0.89	292	2.56	139	1.22	974	8.51	1,567	13.69
1960 to 1979	38	0.33	84	0.73	237	2.07	150	1.31	1,789	15.64	2,298	20.08
<b>Total at risk</b>	<b>179</b>	<b>1.56</b>	<b>328</b>	<b>2.86</b>	<b>852</b>	<b>7.45</b>	<b>485</b>	<b>4.24</b>	<b>3,966</b>	<b>34.66</b>	<b>5,809</b>	<b>50.76</b>
Total Units	11,444											
<b>Renters</b>												
1939 or earlier	84	1.88	41	0.92	104	2.33	41	0.92	95	2.13	365	8.18
1940 to 1949	25	0.56	12	0.28	50	1.12	15	0.34	48	1.08	150	3.38
1950 to 1959	42	0.95	56	1.25	140	3.14	47	1.06	111	2.49	396	8.89
1960 to 1979	209	4.70	123	2.76	191	4.30	87	1.96	275	6.18	887	19.91
<b>Total at risk</b>	<b>361</b>	<b>8.10</b>	<b>233</b>	<b>5.22</b>	<b>484</b>	<b>10.87</b>	<b>191</b>	<b>4.28</b>	<b>529</b>	<b>11.89</b>	<b>1,798</b>	<b>40.36</b>
Total Units	4,454											
<b>Total</b>												
1939 or earlier	151	0.95	150	0.94	344	2.16	177	1.12	953	5.99	1,775	11.16
1940 to 1949	40	0.25	45	0.28	132	0.83	74	0.47	393	2.47	684	4.30
1950 to 1959	101	0.64	158	1.00	432	2.72	187	1.17	1,085	6.83	1,963	12.35
1960 to 1979	247	1.55	207	1.30	429	2.70	238	1.50	2,065	12.99	3,185	20.03
<b>Total at risk</b>	<b>539</b>	<b>3.39</b>	<b>560</b>	<b>3.52</b>	<b>1,337</b>	<b>8.41</b>	<b>675</b>	<b>4.25</b>	<b>4,496</b>	<b>28.28</b>	<b>7,607</b>	<b>47.85</b>
Total Units	15,898											

**TABLE C.40**  
**UNITS AT RISK OF LEAD-BASED PAINT HAZARDS BY INCOME**  
 NORTHWEST QUADRANT, 2000 CENSUS

Year Structure Built	<30 of MFI		30-50 of MFI		50-80 of MFI		80-95 of MFI		95+ of MFI		Total	
	Units at Risk	% of 2000 Housing Stock	Units at Risk	% of 2000 Housing Stock	Units at Risk	% of 2000 Housing Stock	Units at Risk	% of 2000 Housing Stock	Units at Risk	% of 2000 Housing Stock	Units at Risk	% of 2000 Housing Stock
<b>Owners</b>												
1939 or earlier	174	2.16	234	2.90	427	5.29	267	3.31	1,221	15.12	2,323	28.78
1940 to 1949	85	1.05	76	0.94	209	2.59	96	1.18	496	6.15	962	11.91
1950 to 1959	108	1.34	214	2.65	409	5.07	223	2.76	907	11.24	1,862	23.07
1960 to 1979	80	1.00	121	1.50	236	2.93	143	1.77	477	5.91	1,057	13.10
<b>Total at risk</b>	<b>447</b>	<b>5.53</b>	<b>645</b>	<b>8.00</b>	<b>1,282</b>	<b>15.88</b>	<b>728</b>	<b>9.03</b>	<b>3,101</b>	<b>38.42</b>	<b>6,203</b>	<b>76.86</b>
Total Units												8,071
<b>Renters</b>												
1939 or earlier	284	5.58	210	4.13	260	5.11	104	2.05	178	3.50	1,035	20.38
1940 to 1949	156	3.08	105	2.06	118	2.32	67	1.31	114	2.24	559	11.01
1950 to 1959	185	3.64	184	3.62	148	2.91	89	1.76	199	3.91	805	15.85
1960 to 1979	258	5.09	182	3.59	214	4.21	83	1.63	254	5.00	991	19.52
<b>Total at risk</b>	<b>883</b>	<b>17.39</b>	<b>681</b>	<b>13.41</b>	<b>739</b>	<b>14.56</b>	<b>343</b>	<b>6.74</b>	<b>744</b>	<b>14.65</b>	<b>3,390</b>	<b>66.75</b>
Total Units												5,079
<b>Total</b>												
1939 or earlier	458	3.48	444	3.38	687	5.22	371	2.82	1,398	10.63	3,358	25.54
1940 to 1949	241	1.83	180	1.37	327	2.49	162	1.23	610	4.64	1,521	11.57
1950 to 1959	293	2.23	398	3.03	557	4.24	312	2.37	1,106	8.41	2,666	20.28
1960 to 1979	339	2.58	303	2.31	450	3.42	225	1.71	731	5.56	2,048	15.58
Total at risk	1,330	10.11	1,326	10.09	2,021	15.37	1,071	8.14	3,846	29.24	9,594	72.95
Total Units												13,150

**TABLE C.41**  
**UNITS AT RISK OF LEAD-BASED PAINT HAZARDS BY INCOME**  
 SOUTHEAST QUADRANT, 2000 CENSUS

Year Structure Built	<30 of MFI		30-50 of MFI		50-80 of MFI		80-95 of MFI		95+ of MFI		Total	
	Units at Risk	% of 2000 Housing Stock	Units at Risk	% of 2000 Housing Stock	Units at Risk	% of 2000 Housing Stock	Units at Risk	% of 2000 Housing Stock	Units at Risk	% of 2000 Housing Stock	Units at Risk	% of 2000 Housing Stock
<b>Owners</b>												
1939 or earlier	232	1.65	400	2.84	623	4.43	294	2.09	1,136	8.06	2,686	19.07
1940 to 1949	129	0.92	188	1.34	400	2.84	196	1.39	674	4.78	1,587	11.27
1950 to 1959	141	1.00	277	1.97	543	3.85	239	1.70	1,267	8.99	2,467	17.52
1960 to 1979	136	0.96	168	1.19	509	3.62	216	1.53	1,433	10.17	2,462	17.48
<b>Total at risk</b>	<b>638</b>	<b>4.53</b>	<b>1,033</b>	<b>7.34</b>	<b>2,075</b>	<b>14.73</b>	<b>946</b>	<b>6.72</b>	<b>4,510</b>	<b>32.02</b>	<b>9,202</b>	<b>65.34</b>
Total Units	14,083											
<b>Renters</b>												
1939 or earlier	686	6.98	472	4.80	466	4.74	151	1.54	393	4.00	2,168	22.05
1940 to 1949	271	2.76	204	2.07	271	2.75	137	1.40	160	1.63	1,043	10.61
1950 to 1959	172	1.75	232	2.35	238	2.42	84	0.86	210	2.14	936	9.52
1960 to 1979	626	6.37	308	3.13	470	4.78	186	1.90	481	4.89	2,071	21.07
<b>Total at risk</b>	<b>1,758</b>	<b>17.88</b>	<b>1,215</b>	<b>12.36</b>	<b>1,444</b>	<b>14.69</b>	<b>559</b>	<b>5.68</b>	<b>1,243</b>	<b>12.65</b>	<b>6,219</b>	<b>63.26</b>
Total Units	9,831											
<b>Total</b>												
1939 or earlier	918	3.84	872	3.65	1,089	4.55	446	1.86	1,529	6.39	4,854	20.30
1940 to 1949	401	1.68	392	1.64	670	2.80	334	1.40	834	3.49	2,630	11.00
1950 to 1959	313	1.31	508	2.13	781	3.27	324	1.35	1,477	6.18	3,403	14.23
1960 to 1979	761	3.18	476	1.99	980	4.10	402	1.68	1,914	8.00	4,533	18.96
<b>Total at risk</b>	<b>2,396</b>	<b>10.02</b>	<b>2,249</b>	<b>9.40</b>	<b>3,519</b>	<b>14.71</b>	<b>1,504</b>	<b>6.29</b>	<b>5,753</b>	<b>24.06</b>	<b>15,421</b>	<b>64.48</b>
Total Units	23,914											

**TABLE C.42**  
**UNITS AT RISK OF LEAD-BASED PAINT HAZARDS BY INCOME**  
 SOUTHWEST QUADRANT, 2000 CENSUS

Year Structure Built	<30 of MFI		30-50 of MFI		50-80 of MFI		80-95 of MFI		95+ of MFI		Total	
	Units at Risk	% of 2000 Housing Stock	Units at Risk	% of 2000 Housing Stock	Units at Risk	% of 2000 Housing Stock	Units at Risk	% of 2000 Housing Stock	Units at Risk	% of 2000 Housing Stock	Units at Risk	% of 2000 Housing Stock
<b>Owners</b>												
1939 or earlier	140	5.19	137	5.06	230	8.51	49	1.81	220	8.14	777	28.70
1940 to 1949	74	2.75	31	1.14	141	5.20	31	1.14	135	5.00	412	15.23
1950 to 1959	85	3.14	70	2.59	110	4.05	53	1.95	199	7.34	516	19.07
1960 to 1979	45	1.65	45	1.64	78	2.88	28	1.05	132	4.87	327	12.10
<b>Total at risk</b>	<b>345</b>	<b>12.75</b>	<b>282</b>	<b>10.44</b>	<b>560</b>	<b>20.69</b>	<b>160</b>	<b>5.93</b>	<b>684</b>	<b>25.29</b>	<b>2,032</b>	<b>75.09</b>
Total Units											2,706	
<b>Renters</b>												
1939 or earlier	238	6.74	166	4.70	214	6.05	43	1.23	117	3.32	779	22.04
1940 to 1949	210	5.95	47	1.33	83	2.35	8	0.23	64	1.80	412	11.66
1950 to 1959	163	4.62	126	3.55	56	1.58	25	0.70	47	1.32	416	11.77
1960 to 1979	412	11.65	164	4.64	136	3.84	31	0.88	68	1.93	810	22.94
<b>Total at risk</b>	<b>1,022</b>	<b>28.94</b>	<b>501</b>	<b>14.19</b>	<b>489</b>	<b>13.85</b>	<b>107</b>	<b>3.02</b>	<b>297</b>	<b>8.40</b>	<b>2,417</b>	<b>68.41</b>
Total Units											3,533	
<b>Total</b>												
1939 or earlier	379	6.07	303	4.85	444	7.12	92	1.48	338	5.41	1,555	24.93
1940 to 1949	285	4.57	78	1.25	224	3.59	39	0.62	199	3.19	824	13.21
1950 to 1959	248	3.98	196	3.14	165	2.65	77	1.24	245	3.93	932	14.94
1960 to 1979	456	7.31	208	3.34	214	3.42	59	0.95	200	3.21	1,138	18.24
<b>Total at risk</b>	<b>1,368</b>	<b>21.92</b>	<b>784</b>	<b>12.56</b>	<b>1,049</b>	<b>16.82</b>	<b>267</b>	<b>4.28</b>	<b>981</b>	<b>15.73</b>	<b>4,449</b>	<b>71.31</b>
Total Units											6,239	

**TABLE C.43**  
**HOUSEHOLDS BY INCOME AND TENURE**  
**CITY OF ROCKFORD, 2000 HUD SPECIAL TABULATIONS**

Income	Elderly Households	Small Related Households	Large Related Households	Other	Total
<b>Owner</b>					
0-30	964	623	219	515	2,321
30.1-50	1,909	700	263	333	3,205
50.1-80	2,689	2,385	740	984	6,798
80+	4,939	12,884	2,569	3,589	23,981
<b>Total</b>	<b>10,501</b>	<b>16,592</b>	<b>3,791</b>	<b>5,421</b>	<b>36,305</b>
<b>Renter</b>					
0-30	1,546	2,110	559	2,273	6,488
30.1-50	890	1,724	449	1,108	4,171
50.1-80	792	1,869	420	2,043	5,124
80+	746	2,824	455	3,055	7,080
<b>Total</b>	<b>3,974</b>	<b>8,527</b>	<b>1,883</b>	<b>8,479</b>	<b>22,863</b>
<b>Total</b>					
0-30	2,510	2,733	778	2,788	8,809
30.1-50	2,799	2,424	712	1,441	7,376
50.1-80	3,481	4,254	1,160	3,027	11,922
80+	5,685	15,708	3,024	6,644	31,061
<b>Total</b>	<b>14,475</b>	<b>25,119</b>	<b>5,674</b>	<b>13,900</b>	<b>59,168</b>

**TABLE C.44**  
**HOUSEHOLDS WITH A HOUSING PROBLEM BY INCOME AND TENURE**  
**CITY OF ROCKFORD, 2000 HUD SPECIAL TABULATIONS**

Income	Elderly Households	Small Related Households	Large Related Households	Other	Total
<b>Owner</b>					
0-30	709	513	194	380	1,796
30.1-50	704	530	219	233	1,686
50.1-80	349	1,000	265	499	2,113
80+	294	884	439	439	2,056
<b>Total</b>	<b>2,056</b>	<b>2,927</b>	<b>1,117</b>	<b>1,551</b>	<b>7,651</b>
<b>Renter</b>					
0-30	841	1,635	454	1,488	4,418
30.1-50	480	989	290	658	2,417
50.1-80	297	304	205	288	1,094
80+	101	169	180	150	600
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,719</b>	<b>3,097</b>	<b>1,129</b>	<b>2,584</b>	<b>8,529</b>
<b>Total</b>					
0-30	1,550	2,148	648	1,868	6,214
30.1-50	1,184	1,519	509	891	4,103
50.1-80	646	1,304	470	787	3,207
80+	395	1,053	619	589	2,656
<b>Total</b>	<b>3,775</b>	<b>6,024</b>	<b>2,246</b>	<b>4,135</b>	<b>16,180</b>

## Appendix B. Economics

**TABLE B.1**  
**EMPLOYMENT BY INDUSTRY—ROCKFORD, ILLINOIS**  
**1990 AND 2000 CENSUS**

<b>Industry Categories</b>	<b>1990</b>	<b>2000</b>	<b>% Change</b>
Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining	613	187	-69.5%
Construction	2,398	2,980	24.3%
Manufacturing	20,385	17,276	-15.3%
Wholesale trade	2,618	2,315	-11.6%
Retail trade	7,679	7,374	-4.0%
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities	2,629	3,418	30.0%
Information	1,480	1,367	-7.6%
Finance, insurance, real estate and rental and leasing	3,438	3,590	4.4%
Professional, scientific, management, administrative, and waste management services	4,142	5,216	25.9%
Educational, health and social services	11,102	13,666	23.1%
Arts, entertainment, recreation, accommodation and food services	4,581	5,061	10.5%
Other services (except public administration)	2,469	3,485	41.2%
Public administration	1,634	1,933	18.3%
<b>Total</b>	<b>65,168</b>	<b>67,868</b>	<b>4.1%</b>

**TABLE B.2**  
**EMPLOYMENT BY INDUSTRY—NORTHEAST QUADRANT, ROCKFORD, ILLINOIS**  
**1990 AND 2000 CENSUS**

<b>Industry Categories</b>	<b>1990</b>	<b>2000</b>	<b>% Change</b>
Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining	164	45	-72.5%
Construction	617	615	-0.4%
Manufacturing	4,205	4,038	-4.0%
Wholesale trade	769	828	7.6%
Retail trade	1,911	2,146	12.3%
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities	532	907	70.3%
Information	366	419	14.5%
Finance, insurance, real estate and rental and leasing	1,129	1,264	12.0%
Professional, scientific, management, administrative, and waste management services	1,102	1,666	51.2%
Educational, health and social services	3,804	4,880	28.3%
Arts, entertainment, recreation, accommodation and food services	1,112	1,299	16.8%
Other services (except public administration)	575	1,045	81.8%
Public administration	428	606	41.6%
<b>Total</b>	<b>16,714</b>	<b>19,758</b>	<b>18.2%</b>

**TABLE B.3**  
**EMPLOYMENT BY INDUSTRY—NORTHWEST QUADRANT, ROCKFORD, ILLINOIS**  
**1990 AND 2000 CENSUS**

<b>Industry Categories</b>	<b>1990</b>	<b>2000</b>	<b>% Change</b>
Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining	132	54	-59.0%
Construction	553	713	28.9%
Manufacturing	4,799	3,730	-22.3%
Wholesale trade	823	434	-47.2%
Retail trade	1,852	1,665	-10.1%
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities	535	735	37.3%
Information	380	315	-17.2%
Finance, insurance, real estate and rental and leasing	894	747	-16.5%
Professional, scientific, management, administrative, and waste management services	1,156	1,010	-12.6%
Educational, health and social services	3,075	3,386	10.1%
Arts, entertainment, recreation, accommodation and food services	1,143	1,024	-10.4%
Other services (except public administration)	686	837	22.0%
Public administration	492	477	-3.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>16,520</b>	<b>15,127</b>	<b>-8.4%</b>

**TABLE B.4**  
**EMPLOYMENT BY INDUSTRY—SOUTHEAST QUADRANT, ROCKFORD, ILLINOIS**  
**1990 AND 2000 CENSUS**

<b>Industry Categories</b>	<b>1990</b>	<b>2000</b>	<b>% Change</b>
Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining	252	77	-69.4%
Construction	1,085	1,458	34.4%
Manufacturing	8,911	7,668	-13.9%
Wholesale trade	907	922	1.6%
Retail trade	3,230	3,088	-4.4%
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities	1,314	1,463	11.4%
Information	566	508	-10.2%
Finance, insurance, real estate and rental and leasing	1,137	1,410	24.0%
Professional, scientific, management, administrative, and waste management services	1,516	2,033	34.1%
Educational, health and social services	3,244	4,315	33.0%
Arts, entertainment, recreation, accommodation and food services	1,875	2,192	16.9%
Other services (except public administration)	945	1,368	44.8%
Public administration	527	676	28.3%
<b>Total</b>	<b>25,508</b>	<b>27,178</b>	<b>6.5%</b>

**TABLE B.5**  
**EMPLOYMENT BY INDUSTRY—SOUTHWEST QUADRANT, ROCKFORD, ILLINOIS**  
**1990 AND 2000 CENSUS**

<b>Industry Categories</b>	<b>1990</b>	<b>2000</b>	<b>% Change</b>
Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining	66	11	-83.4%
Construction	143	194	35.5%
Manufacturing	2,470	1,840	-25.5%
Wholesale trade	119	131	10.0%
Retail trade	686	475	-30.8%
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities	248	313	26.4%
Information	168	125	-25.5%
Finance, insurance, real estate and rental and leasing	278	169	-39.3%
Professional, scientific, management, administrative, and waste management services	368	507	37.8%
Educational, health and social services	979	1,085	10.8%
Arts, entertainment, recreation, accommodation and food services	450	546	21.2%
Other services (except public administration)	263	235	-10.6%
Public administration	187	174	-6.9%
<b>Total</b>	<b>6,426</b>	<b>5,805</b>	<b>-9.7%</b>

**TABLE B.6**  
**HOUSEHOLDS BY INCOME RANGE**  
**1990 AND 2000 CENSUS: ROCKFORD VS NORTHEAST QUADRANT**

<b>INCOME RANGE</b>	<b>ROCKFORD</b>			<b>NORTHEAST QUADRANT</b>		
	<b>1990</b>	<b>2000</b>	<b>% Change</b>	<b>1990</b>	<b>2000</b>	<b>% Change</b>
Less than \$10,000	9,219	6,352	-31.1%	1,114	849	-23.8%
\$10,000-\$19,999	10,199	8,325	-18.4%	1,583	1,349	-14.8%
\$20,000-\$34,999	13,811	12,569	-9.0%	2,657	2,673	0.6%
\$35,000-\$49,999	10,336	10,572	2.3%	2,627	2,602	-1.0%
\$50,000-\$74,999	7,486	11,117	48.5%	2,705	3,318	22.7%
\$75,000-\$99,999	2,066	5,051	144.5%	987	2,012	103.9%
\$100,000-\$149,999	1,001	3,187	218.4%	769	1,739	126.1%
\$150,000 or more	721	1,941	169.2%	593	1,340	126.0%
<b>Total Households (SF3)</b>	<b>54,839</b>	<b>59,114</b>	<b>7.8%</b>	<b>13,035</b>	<b>15,882</b>	<b>21.8%</b>
Error term	0	44	.	-13	-32	.
Total Households (SF1)	54,839	59,158	7.9%	13,022	15,850	21.7%

**TABLE B.7**  
**HOUSEHOLDS BY INCOME RANGE**

**1990 AND 2000 CENSUS: ROCKFORD VS NORTHWEST QUADRANT**

INCOME RANGE	ROCKFORD			NORTHWEST QUADRANT		
	1990	2000	% Change	1990	2000	% Change
Less than \$10,000	9,219	6,352	-31.1%	1,918	1,342	-30.0%
\$10,000-\$19,999	10,199	8,325	-18.4%	2,754	2,073	-24.7%
\$20,000-\$34,999	13,811	12,569	-9.0%	3,949	2,871	-27.3%
\$35,000-\$49,999	10,336	10,572	2.3%	2,730	2,776	1.7%
\$50,000-\$74,999	7,486	11,117	48.5%	1,624	2,446	50.6%
\$75,000-\$99,999	2,066	5,051	144.5%	358	984	174.9%
\$100,000-\$149,999	1,001	3,187	218.4%	136	478	251.5%
\$150,000 or more	721	1,941	169.2%	44	277	529.5%
<b>Total Households (SF3)</b>	<b>54,839</b>	<b>59,114</b>	<b>7.8%</b>	<b>13,513</b>	<b>13,247</b>	<b>-2.0%</b>
Error term	0	44		30	-24	.
Total Households (SF1)	54,839	59,158	7.9%	13,543	13,223	-2.4%

**TABLE B.8**  
**HOUSEHOLDS BY INCOME RANGE**

**1990 AND 2000 CENSUS: ROCKFORD VS SOUTHEAST QUADRANT**

INCOME RANGE	ROCKFORD			SOUTHEAST QUADRANT		
	1990	2000	% Change	1990	2000	% Change
Less than \$10,000	9,219	6,352	-31.1%	3,729	2,646	-29.0%
\$10,000-\$19,999	10,199	8,325	-18.4%	4,393	3,646	-17.0%
\$20,000-\$34,999	13,811	12,569	-9.0%	5,913	5,562	-5.9%
\$35,000-\$49,999	10,336	10,572	2.3%	4,227	4,403	4.2%
\$50,000-\$74,999	7,486	11,117	48.5%	2,669	4,674	75.1%
\$75,000-\$99,999	2,066	5,051	144.5%	669	1,783	166.5%
\$100,000-\$149,999	1,001	3,187	218.4%	79	815	931.6%
\$150,000 or more	721	1,941	169.2%	84	258	207.1%
<b>Total Households (SF3)</b>	<b>54,839</b>	<b>59,114</b>	<b>7.8%</b>	<b>21,763</b>	<b>23,787</b>	<b>9.3%</b>
Error term	0	44		-72	66	.
Total Households (SF1)	54,839	59,158	7.9%	21,691	23,853	10.0%

**TABLE B.9**  
**HOUSEHOLDS BY INCOME RANGE**

**1990 AND 2000 CENSUS: ROCKFORD VS SOUTHWEST QUADRANT**

INCOME RANGE	ROCKFORD			SOUTHWEST QUADRANT		
	1990	2000	% Change	1990	2000	% Change
Less than \$10,000	9,219	6,352	-31.1%	2,458	1,515	-38.4%
\$10,000-\$19,999	10,199	8,325	-18.4%	1,469	1,257	-14.4%
\$20,000-\$34,999	13,811	12,569	-9.0%	1,292	1,463	13.2%
\$35,000-\$49,999	10,336	10,572	2.3%	752	791	5.2%
\$50,000-\$74,999	7,486	11,117	48.5%	488	679	39.1%
\$75,000-\$99,999	2,066	5,051	144.5%	52	272	423.1%
\$100,000-\$149,999	1,001	3,187	218.4%	17	155	811.8%
\$150,000 or more	721	1,941	169.2%	.	66	.
<b>Total Households (SF3)</b>	<b>54,839</b>	<b>59,114</b>	<b>7.8%</b>	<b>6,528</b>	<b>6,198</b>	<b>-5.1%</b>
Error term	0	44		55	34	.
Total Households (SF1)	54,839	59,158	7.9%	6,583	6,232	-5.3%

**TABLE B.10**  
**FAMILIES BY INCOME RANGE**  
**1990 AND 2000 CENSUS: ROCKFORD VS NORTHEAST QUADRANT**

INCOME RANGE	ROCKFORD			NORTHEAST QUADRANT		
	1990	2000	% Change	1990	2000	% Change
Less than \$10,000	3,852	2,554	-33.7%	316	244	-22.8%
\$10,000-\$19,999	5,470	3,675	-32.8%	744	435	-41.5%
\$20,000-\$34,999	9,064	7,366	-18.7%	1,625	1,375	-15.4%
\$35,000-\$49,999	8,323	7,100	-14.7%	2,152	1,549	-28.0%
\$50,000-\$74,999	6,621	8,315	25.6%	2,432	2,430	-0.1%
\$75,000-\$99,999	1,833	4,283	133.7%	917	1,821	98.6%
\$100,000-\$149,999	928	2,601	180.3%	735	1,477	101.0%
\$150,000 or more	665	1,757	164.2%	568	1,269	123.4%
<b>Total Families (SF3)</b>	<b>36,756</b>	<b>37,651</b>	<b>2.4%</b>	<b>9,489</b>	<b>10,600</b>	<b>11.7%</b>
Error term	-298	-303	.	-135	-100	.
Total Families (SF1)	36,458	37,348	2.4%	9,354	10,500	12.3%

**TABLE B.11**  
**FAMILIES BY INCOME RANGE**  
**1990 AND 2000 CENSUS: ROCKFORD VS NORTHWEST QUADRANT**

INCOME RANGE	ROCKFORD			NORTHWEST QUADRANT		
	1990	2000	% Change	1990	2000	% Change
Less than \$10,000	3,852	2,554	-33.7%	719	622	-13.5%
\$10,000-\$19,999	5,470	3,675	-32.8%	1,458	991	-32.0%
\$20,000-\$34,999	9,064	7,366	-18.7%	2,480	1,643	-33.8%
\$35,000-\$49,999	8,323	7,100	-14.7%	2,254	1,922	-14.7%
\$50,000-\$74,999	6,621	8,315	25.6%	1,428	1,814	27.0%
\$75,000-\$99,999	1,833	4,283	133.7%	280	751	168.2%
\$100,000-\$149,999	928	2,601	180.3%	119	398	234.5%
\$150,000 or more	665	1,757	164.2%	30	208	593.3%
<b>Total Families (SF3)</b>	<b>36,756</b>	<b>37,651</b>	<b>2.4%</b>	<b>8,768</b>	<b>8,349</b>	<b>-4.8%</b>
Error term	-298	-303	.	-42	-50	.
Total Families (SF1)	36,458	37,348	2.4%	8,726	8,299	-4.9%

**TABLE B.12**  
**FAMILIES BY INCOME RANGE**  
**1990 AND 2000 CENSUS: ROCKFORD VS SOUTHEAST QUADRANT**

INCOME RANGE	ROCKFORD			SOUTHEAST QUADRANT		
	1990	2000	% Change	1990	2000	% Change
Less than \$10,000	3,852	2,554	-33.7%	1,449	876	-39.5%
\$10,000-\$19,999	5,470	3,675	-32.8%	2,382	1,602	-32.7%
\$20,000-\$34,999	9,064	7,366	-18.7%	3,929	3,304	-15.9%
\$35,000-\$49,999	8,323	7,100	-14.7%	3,260	2,961	-9.2%
\$50,000-\$74,999	6,621	8,315	25.6%	2,323	3,587	54.4%
\$75,000-\$99,999	1,833	4,283	133.7%	584	1,493	155.7%
\$100,000-\$149,999	928	2,601	180.3%	65	605	830.8%
\$150,000 or more	665	1,757	164.2%	67	237	253.7%
<b>Total Families (SF3)</b>	<b>36,756</b>	<b>37,651</b>	<b>2.4%</b>	<b>14,059</b>	<b>14,665</b>	<b>4.3%</b>
Error term	-298	-303	.	-100	-188	.
Total Families (SF1)	36,458	37,348	2.4%	13,959	14,477	3.7%

**TABLE B.13**  
**FAMILIES BY INCOME RANGE**  
**1990 AND 2000 CENSUS: ROCKFORD VS SOUTHWEST QUADRANT**

INCOME RANGE	ROCKFORD			SOUTHWEST QUADRANT		
	1990	2000	% Change	1990	2000	% Change
Less than \$10,000	3,852	2,554	-33.7%	1,368	812	-40.6%
\$10,000-\$19,999	5,470	3,675	-32.8%	886	647	-27.0%
\$20,000-\$34,999	9,064	7,366	-18.7%	1,030	1,044	1.4%
\$35,000-\$49,999	8,323	7,100	-14.7%	657	668	1.7%
\$50,000-\$74,999	6,621	8,315	25.6%	438	484	10.5%
\$75,000-\$99,999	1,833	4,283	133.7%	52	218	319.2%
\$100,000-\$149,999	928	2,601	180.3%	9	121	1244.4%
\$150,000 or more	665	1,757	164.2%	.	43	!
<b>Total Families (SF3)</b>	<b>36,756</b>	<b>37,651</b>	<b>2.4%</b>	<b>4,440</b>	<b>4,037</b>	<b>-9.1%</b>
Error term	-298	-303	.	-21	35	.
Total Families (SF1)	36,458	37,348	2.4%	4,419	4,072	-7.9%